APPENDIX 8

FAUNAL AND AVIFAUNAL ASSESSMENT

JULY 2025



SCIENTIFIC TERRESTRIAL SERVICES

Faunal and Avifaunal Assessment

AS PART OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORISATION PROCESS FOR THE PROPOSED CAPE WINELANDS AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT, FISANTEKRAAL, WESTERN CAPE PROVINCE

Prepared by: Scientific Terrestrial Services (Pty) Ltd Report authors: D. van der Merwe Report reviewers: C. Hooton

Report reference:

K. Marais (Pr. Sci. Nat) STS 210082



Website: http://www.sasenvironmental.co.za

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Scientific Terrestrial Services (STS) was appointed to conduct a Faunal and Avifaunal assessment on portions of the farms, Portions 3, 4 and RE of Farm 474, Joostenbergs Kloof, Portions 23, 10 and the RE of the Farm 724 Joostenbergs Vlakte, and Portion 7 of Farm 942, Kliprug, near Cape Town, Western Cape Province.

During the field assessment, three broad floral habitat units were identified for the study area, namely:

- 1. **Renosterveld Habitat**: This habitat unit is associated with the last remaining fragments of natural vegetation. In most cases grazing, Alien Invasive Plant (AIP) proliferation and edge effects have reduced the habitat potential for many fauna, however, these remaining fragments are more species rich from a floral perspective and thus offer habitat of varied structure and floral diversity suitable for many fauna;
- 2. Freshwater Habitat: The Freshwater Habitat includes wetlands identified on site as per the wetland specialist report. Different faunal community compositions were supported within the habitat unit. The wetland offers unique habitat in terms of the saturated nature but has been heavily modified due to agricultural activities.
- 3. Modified Habitat: This habitat unit includes areas where vegetation has been significantly degraded or is entirely absent because of crop cultivation and ploughing, buildings (for housing and agriculture), historic airport development and Alien Invasive Plant (AIP) stands. Some pockets of severely invaded portions (by Port Jackson) of this unit provide valuable shelter for fauna, and some areas were particularly abundant in avifauna. Forage for fauna and avifauna is anticipated to be intermittent within the habitat as a result of monoculture cultivation and the homogeneity of the remaining unit.
- 4. Artificial impoundments and Agricultural Drains: These artificial features within the study area are/were used to store/convey water primarily for agricultural purposes. These are not considered to be natural features, though the artificial impoundments may provide seasonal surface water localities for avifauna, notably waterfowl. The agricultural drains do not contain permanent water and are not considered important from an avifaunal perspective.

Species diversity and habitat integrity:

For the mammal, herpetofauna, invertebrate and avian assessment, mostly commonly occurring species were observed, only a single SCC, *Grus paradiseus* (Blue crane) was observed. As the study area largely is cultivated land with reduced primary productivity and floral richness most secretive and rare fauna have emigrated to areas with more suitable natural vegetation and as a result a largely intermediate to moderately low diversity of mammals, invertebrates, herpetofauna and avifauna were observed. It is inferred that fauna will range throughout the study area to meet their dietary needs and in most cases will not be restricted to particular unit. The remaining portions of Renosterveld habitat, although highly fragmented, provided habitat for breeding and foraging for most common fauna and are considered of intermediate sensitivity. The Freshwater habitat provides habitat to species which select for areas of increased soil saturation, though it is noted that the wetland has been cultivated which will affect the overall habitat provisioning to species. As a result of previous vegetation clearing and agriculture the Modified Habitat is considered of moderately low sensitivity, however, as it makes up the vast majority of the site it is anticipated to be utilized for foraging by most faunal species within the study area.

Conservation significance of the study area:

The results of the online National Web-Based Environmental Screening Tool (2023) indicate the Terrestrial Biodiversity Sensitivity Theme for the study to be of very high sensitivity. The high sensitivity regions are a result of 'the presence of CBA 1's, CBA 2's, critically endangered ecosystems and an endangered ecosystem.

Species of Conservation Concern (SCC):

The National Web-based Environmental Screening Tool for the study area indicates that the Animal Species Theme for the southern parts of the study area was of high sensitivity whereas the remainder of the study area was of medium sensitivity.



Several SCC potentially utilise the study area on a permanent or temporary bases. These species are: *Psammophis leightoni* (Cape Sand Snake) and *Bradypodion pumilum* (Cape Dwarf Chameleon), *Phoenicopterus roseus* (Greater Flamingo), *Phoenicopterus minor* (Lesser Flamingo), *Circus ranivorus* (African Marsh Harrier), *Circus maurus* (Black harrier), *Falco biarmicus* (Lanner Falcon), *Sagittarius serpentarius* (Secretarybird), *Aquila verreauxii* (Verreaux's Eagle), *Pelecanus onocrotalus* (Great White Pelican) and *Oxyura maccoa* (Maccoa Duck). *Grus paradiseus* (Blue crane) was observed within the study area and is known to breed within a kilometre of the study area. Should any of the above listed species burrows or nests be detected a suitably qualified specialist is to be contacted in order to determine the best way forward. In some instances it will be the necessary to obtain the relevant permits from the competent authorities if any of these species or nests thereof will be disturbed.

Impact Assessment

The proposed airport development will result in large scale habitat loss, though much of this habitat is considered to be of decreased sensitivity. Subsequently, the perceived impacts are expected to have a low to very low impact significance to faunal species in the study area. Impacts to avifaunal species were more variable, as avifauna are able to more readily move between and utilise the study area and surrounds. The agricultural areas also provided important, albeit artificial, foraging habitat for avifaunal SCC, notably *Grus paradiseus* (Blue crane). Provided mitigation measures are implemented, impact significance to avifauna is expected to range from medium to very low significance.

Concluding Remarks:

From a faunal and avifaunal ecological perspective, the sensitivity of the habitat units varies from moderately low (Modified habitat) to intermediate within the Renosterveld and Freshwater Habitat. The highly fragmented nature the indigenous vegetation limits the conservation potential of the study area within the highly modified landscape. Although SCC do utilise the study area, the notable habitat degradation as a result of historic impacts from the existing airport and agricultural activities is clearly evident. *Grus paradiseus* (Blue crane) do breed east of the study area and will forage within the study area, as such, the development and airport activities may pose a threat to this species in terms of lost foraging grounds and potential bird strikes.

Based on the results of the site assessment and the overall impact significance scores, it is the opinion of the specialist that this project may be approved, provided that all management and mitigation measures as stipulated in the reports (Part B and C) are adhered to.





Reg No. 2005/122/329/23 VAT Reg No. 4150274472 PO Box 751779 Gardenview 2047 Tel: 011 616 7893 Fax: 086 724 3132 Email: admin@sasenvgroup.co.za www.sasenvironmental.co.za

FAUNAL AND AVIFAUNAL ASSESSMENT AS PART OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORISATION PROCESS FOR THE PROPOSED CAPE WINELANDS AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT, FISANTEKRAAL, WESTERN CAPE PROVINCE

Prepared for

PHS Consulting (Pty) Ltd.

July 2023

Updated February 2025

Part A: Background Information

Prepared by: Report author: Report reviewers: Scientific Terrestrial Services (Pty) Ltd S. Erwee C. Hooton K. Marais (Pr. Sci. Nat) STS 210082

Report Reference:











DOCUMENT GUIDE

The table below provides a guide to the reporting of biodiversity impacts as they relate to 1) Government Notice No. 320 Protocol for the Specialist Assessment and Minimum Report Content Requirements for Environmental Impacts on **Terrestrial Biodiversity** as published in Government Gazette 43110 dated 20 June 2020, and 2) Government Notice No. 1150 Protocol for the Specialist Assessment and Minimum Report Content Requirements for Environmental Impacts on **Terrestrial Plant and Animal Species** as published in Government Gazette 43855 dated 30 June 2020.

	Theme-Specific Requirements as per Government Notice No. Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme – Very High Sensitivity Rating as per Scree			
No.	SPECIALIST ASSESSMENT AND MINIMUM REPORT CONTENT REQUIREMENTS	Section in report/Notes		
2	Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment			
2.1	The assessment must be prepared by a specialist registered with the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professionals (SACNASP) with expertise in the field of terrestrial biodiversity.	Part A – C: Cover Page Part A: Appendix E		
2.2	The assessment must be undertaken on the preferred site and within the proposed development footprint.	Part A: Section 1		
2.3	The assessment must provide a baseline description of the site which inclue following aspects:	des, as a minimum, the		
2.3.1	A description of the ecological drivers or processes of the system and how the proposed development will impact these;	Part B: Section 3 (fauna) Part C: Section 3 (avifauna)		
2.3.2	Ecological functioning and ecological processes (e.g., fire, migration, pollination, etc.) that operate within the preferred site;	Part B: Section 3 (fauna) Part C: Section 3 (fauna)		
2.3.3	The ecological corridors that the proposed development would impede including migration and movement of flora and fauna;	Part A: Section 3 (desktop analysis) Part B: Section 3 (fauna) Part C: Section 3 (avifauna)		
2.3.4	The description of any significant terrestrial landscape features (including rare or important flora-faunal associations, presence of Strategic Water Source Areas (SWSAs) or Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area (FEPA) sub catchments;	Part A: Section 3 (desktop analysis) Part B: Section 3 (fauna) Part C: Section 3 (avifauna) *For descriptions on the presence of FEPAs, please refer to the Freshwater Biodiversity Assessment		
2.3.5	 A description of terrestrial biodiversity and ecosystems on the preferred site, including: a) main vegetation types; b) threatened ecosystems, including listed ecosystems as well as locally important habitat types identified; c) ecological connectivity, habitat fragmentation, ecological processes and fine scale habitats; and d) species, distribution, important habitats (e.g. feeding grounds, nesting sites, etc.) and movement patterns identified; 	Part A: Section 3 (desktop analysis) Part B: Section 3 (fauna) Part C: Section 3 (avifauna)		
2.3.6	The assessment must identify any alternative development footprints within the preferred site which would be of a "low" sensitivity as identified by the screening tool and verified through the site sensitivity verification; and	NA		
2.3.7	The assessment must be based on the results of a site inspection undertaken on the preferred site and must identify:			
2.3.7.1	 Terrestrial Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs), including: a) the reasons why an area has been identified as a CBA; b) an indication of whether or not the proposed development is consistent with maintaining the CBA in a natural or near natural state or in achieving the goal of rehabilitation; c) the impact on species composition and structure of vegetation with an indication of the extent of clearing activities in proportion to the remaining extent of the ecosystem type(s); 	Part A: Section 3 (desktop analysis) Part B: TBC Part C: TBC		



	d) the impact on ecosystem threat status;	
	e) the impact on explicit subtypes in the vegetation;	
	f) the impact on overall species and ecosystem diversity of the site; and	
	g) the impact on any changes to threat status of populations of species of	
	conservation concern in the CBA;	
2.3.7.2	Terrestrial Ecological Support Areas (ESAs), including:	
	a) the impact on the ecological processes that operate within or across	
	the site;	
	b) the extent the proposed development will impact on the functionality of	
	the ESA; and	
	c) loss of ecological connectivity (on site, and in relation to the broader	
	landscape) due to the degradation and severing of ecological corridors	
	or introducing barriers that impede migration and movement of flora	
0070	and fauna;	
2.3.7.3	Protected areas as defined by the National Environmental Management:	
	Protected Areas Act, 2004 including-	Part A: Section 3 (desktop
	 an opinion on whether the proposed development aligns with the objectives or purpose of the protected area and the zoning as per the 	analysis)
	protected area management plan;	
2.3.7.4	Priority areas for protected area expansion, including-	
2.0.1.7	a) the way in which in which the proposed development will compromise	Part A: Section 3 (desktop
	or contribute to the expansion of the protected area network;	analysis)
2.3.7.5	SWSAs including:	
	a) the impact(s) on the terrestrial habitat of a SWSA; and	
	b) the impacts of the proposed development on the SWSA water quality	Not Applicable
	and quantity (e.g. describing potential increased runoff leading to	
	increased sediment load in water courses);	
2.3.7.6	FEPA sub catchments, including-	*For descriptions on the
	a) the impacts of the proposed development on habitat condition and	presence of FEPAs, please
	species in the FEPA sub catchment;	refer to the Freshwater
		Biodiversity Assessment
		(SAS 202148, 2021)
2.3.7.7	Indigenous forests, including:	
	a) impact on the ecological integrity of the forest; and	Not Applicable
	 b) percentage of natural or near natural indigenous forest area lost and a statement on the implications in relation to the remaining areas. 	
2.4	The findings of the assessment must be written up in a Terrestrial Biodivers	ity Specialist Assessment
2.7	Report.	ity opecialist Assessment
	Part B: Results of the Faunal Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestrial	Biodiversity as it relates to
	vegetation communities.	,
	Part C: Results of the Avifaunal Assessment as well as conclusions on Terrestr	ial Biodiversity as it relates to
	faunal communities.	
3	Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report	
3.1	The Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment Report must contain, as	a minimum, the following
0.4.4	information:	
3.1.1	Contact details of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field	Part A: Appendix E
240	of expertise and a curriculum vitae;	
3.1.2 3.1.3	A signed statement of independence by the specialist;	Part A: Appendix E
3.1.3	A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment;	Part B: Section 1.3 (flora) Part C: Section 1.3 (fauna)
3.1.4	A description of the methodology used to undertake the site verification and	
J. 1.T	impact assessment and site inspection, including equipment and modelling	Part A: Appendix C
	used, where relevant;	Part B: Appendix A
3.1.5	A description of the assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in	Part B: Section 1.3 (fauna)
0.1.0	knowledge or data as well as a statement of the timing and intensity of site	Part C: Section 1.3
	inspection observations;	(avifauna)
3.1.6	A location of the areas not suitable for development, which are to be avoided	Part B: Section 4 (fauna)
	during construction and operation (where relevant);	Part C: Section 4 (avifauna)
	Impact Assessment Requirements	Part B: Section 5 (flora)
	3.1.7 Additional environmental impacts expected from the proposed	Part C: Section 5 (fauna)
	development;	



	3.1.8 Any direct, indirect and cumulative impacts of the proposed		
	development;		
	3.1.9 The degree to which impacts and risks can be mitigated;		
	3.1.10 The degree to which the impacts and risks can be reversed;		
	3.1.11 The degree to which the impacts and risks can cause loss of		
	irreplaceable resources;		
	3.1.12 Proposed impact management actions and impact management		
	outcomes proposed by the specialist for inclusion in the Environmental		
	Management Programme (EMPr);		
3.1.13	A motivation must be provided if there were development footprints identified as	Not Applicable to this	
	per paragraph 2.3.6 above that were identified as having a "low" terrestrial	report	
	biodiversity sensitivity and that were not considered appropriate;		
3.1.14	A substantiated statement, based on the findings of the specialist assessment,	Part A: Executive summary	
	regarding the acceptability, or not, of the proposed development, if it should	Part B: Section 5 (fauna)	
	receive approval or not; and	Part C: Section 5 (avifauna)	
3.1.15	Any conditions to which this statement is subjected.	Part B: Section 5	
		Part C: Section 5	
3.2	The findings of the Terrestrial Biodiversity Specialist Assessment must be	Not Applicable to this	
	incorporated into the Basic Assessment Report or the Environmental Impact	report	
	Assessment Report, including the mitigation and monitoring measures as		
	identified, which must be incorporated into the EMPr where relevant.		
3.3	A signed copy of the assessment must be appended to the Basic Assessment	Not Applicable to this	
	Report or Environmental Impact Assessment Report.	report	



TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXEC	CUTIVE SUMMARY	i
DOC	UMENT GUIDE	i
TABL	LE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST	OF FIGURES	.v
	OF TABLES	
GLO	SSARY OF TERMS	vi
LIST	OF ACRONYMS	iii
1	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Scope of Work	.4
1.2	Assumptions and Limitations	
1.3	Legislative Requirements	. 5
2	ASSESSMENT APPROACH	. 5
3	RESULTS OF THE DESKTOP ANALYSIS	14
3.1	Conservation Characteristics of the Study area based on National and Provincial	
	Datasets	14
4	STRUCTURE OF THE BIODIVERSITY REPORT	26
5	REFERENCES	27
APPE	ENDIX A: Indemnity and Terms of Use of this Report	29
APPE	ENDIX B: Legislative Requirements	30
	ENDIX C: Impact Assessment Methodology	
	ENDIX D: Vegetation Types	
APPE	ENDIX E: Details, Expertise And Curriculum Vitae of Specialists	12



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Digital satellite image depicting the study area and study area in relation to	່
Figure 2: The study and study area depicted on a 1:50 000 topographical map i	
relation to the surrounding area	
Figure 3: Phase 1 of proposed CWA development (CWA Ltd, August 2024)	7
Figure 4: Phase 2 of proposed CWA development (CWA Ltd, January 2025)	7
Figure 5: The Bioregions associated with the study area, according to Mucina an	d
Rutherford (2018).	19
Figure 6: The vegetation types associated with the study area, according to the Mucin	
and Rutherford (2018)	20
Figure 7: The study area located within the remaining extent of the critical endangered Cape Flats Sand Fynbos, Swartland Shale Renosterveld an	
the endangered Swartland Granite Renosterveld, according to the Nationa	
Biodiversity Assessment (NBA, 2018)	
Figure 8: Sensitivity of the Animal Species Theme for the study area, according to th	
National Web-based Screening Tool (2023).	
Figure 9: The remaining extent of the threatened ecosystems associated with th	
study area, based on the National Threatened Ecosystems (2022)	
Figure 10: The protected and conservation areas located within a 10 km radius of th	
study area, (SAPAD, 2021; SACAD, 2021 and NPAES, 2009)	24
Figure 11: The biodiversity importance of the study area, according to the City of Cap	е
Town Biodiversity Network, 2019.	25

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Summary of the biodiversity characteristics associated with the larger study	
	area [Quarter Degree Square (QDS) 3318DC)].	.15



GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Most definitions are based on terms and concepts elaborated by Richardson *et al.* (2011), Hui and Richardson (2017) and Wilson *et al.* (2017), with consideration to their applicability in the South African context, especially South African legislation [notably the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004), and the associated Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, 2020].

Alien species	A appaging that is present in a region sutside its patural range due to human actions
(syn. exotic species; non-native	A species that is present in a region outside its natural range due to human actions (intentional or accidental) that have enabled it to overcome biogeographic barriers.
species)	
Biological diversity or	The variability among living organisms from all sources including, terrestrial, marine,
Biodiversity (as per the	and other aquatic ecosystems and the ecological complexes of which they are part
definition in NEMBA)	and also includes diversity within species, between species, and of ecosystems.
Biome - as per Mucina and	A broad ecological spatial unit representing major life zones of large natural areas -
Rutherford (2006); after Low	defined mainly by vegetation structure, climate, and major large-scale disturbance
and Rebelo (1998).	factors (such as fires).
Bioregion (as per the definition	A geographic region which has in terms of section 40(1) been determined as a
in NEMBA)	bioregion for the purposes of this Act;
	A CBA is an area considered important for the survival of threatened species and
Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA)	includes valuable ecosystems such as wetlands, untransformed vegetation, and
	ridges.
Corridor	A dispersal route or a physical connection of suitable habitats linking previously
	unconnected regions.
Disturbance	A temporal change, either regular or irregular (uncertain), in the environmental
Disturbance	conditions that can trigger population fluctuations and secondary succession.
	Disturbance is an important driver of biological invasions. An ecoregion is a "recurring pattern of ecosystems associated with characteristic
Ecoregion	combinations of soil and landform that characterise that region".
Endangered	Organisms in danger of extinction if causal factors continue to operate.
	Species that are only found within a pre-defined area. There can therefore be sub-
Endemic species	continental (e.g., southern Africa), national (South Africa), provincial, regional, or even
	within a particular mountain range.
	An ESA provides connectivity and important ecological processes between CBAs and
Ecological Support Area (ESA)	is therefore important in terms of habitat conservation.
	Ground truth is a term used in various fields to refer to information provided by direct
Ground-truth	observation (i.e., empirical evidence) as opposed to information provided by inference.
Habitat	
(as per the definition in NEMBA)	A place where a species or ecological community naturally occurs.
	The IBA Programme identifies and works to conserve a network of sites critical for the
Important Bird and Biodiversity	long-term survival of bird species that: are globally threatened, have a restricted range,
Area (IBA)	are restricted to specific biomes/vegetation types or sites that have significant
	populations.
Indigenous vegetation	Vegetation occurring naturally within a defined area, regardless of the level of alien
(as per the definition in NEMA)	infestation and where the topsoil has not been lawfully disturbed during the preceding
	ten years.
Integrity (ecological)	The integrity of an ecosystem refers to its functional completeness, including its
	components (species) its patterns (distribution) and its processes.
	Alien species that sustain self-replacing populations over several life cycles, produce
Invasive species	reproductive offspring, often in very large numbers at considerable distances from the
	parent and/or site of introduction, and have the potential to spread over long distances.
	All alien species that are regulated in South Africa under the National Environmental
Listed alien species	Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act 10 of 2004), Alien and Invasive Species
	Regulations, 2020.



Least Threatened	Least threatened ecosystems are still largely intact.		
Native species (syn. indigenous species)	Species that are found within their natural range where they have evolved without human intervention (intentional or accidental). Also includes species that have expanded their range as a result of human modification of the environment that does not directly impact dispersal (e.g., species are still native if they increase their range as a result of spread along human-created corridors linking previously separate biogeographic regions).		
Red Data listed (RDL) species	According to the Red List of South African plants (<u>http://redlist.sanbi.org/</u>) and the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), organisms that fall into the Extinct in the Wild (EW), Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU) categories of ecological status.		
Species of Conservation The term SCC in the context of this report refers to all RDL and IUCN listed three			
Concern (SCC)	species as well as protected species of relevance to the project.		



LIST OF ACRONYMS

AICP	Alien and Invasive Control Plans		
BGIS	Biodiversity Geographic Information Systems		
CARA	Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983)		
CBA	Critical Biodiversity Area		
CWA	Cape Winelands Airport		
DEA	Department of Environmental Affairs		
DFFE	Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment		
DWS	Department of Water and Sanitation		
E-GIS	Environmental Geographical Information Systems		
EA	Environmental Authorisation		
EAP	Environmental Assessment Practitioner		
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment		
EMPr	Environmental Management Programme		
EN	Endangered		
ESA	Ecological Support Area		
GIS	Geographic Information System		
GN	Government Notice		
GPS	Global Positioning System		
На	Hectare		
IBA	Important Bird Area		
IUCN	International Union for the Conservation of Nature		
MAMSL	Meters Above Mean Sea Level		
MAP	Mean Annual Precipitation		
MAPE	Mean Annual Potential for Evaporation		
MASMS	Mean Annual Soil Moisture Stress		
MAT	Mean Annual Temperature		
MFD	Mean Frost Days		
NBA	National Biodiversity Assessment		
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998)		
NEMBA	National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004)		
NPAES	National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy		
PRECIS	Pretoria Computer Information Systems		
QDS	Quarter Degree Square (1:50,000 topographical mapping references)		
SABAP 2	Southern African Bird Atlas 2		
SACAD	South Africa Conservation Areas Database		
SANBI	South African National Biodiversity Institute		
SanParks	South African National Parks		
SAPAD	South Africa Protected Area Database		
STS	Scientific Terrestrial Services CC		
SWSA	Strategic Water Source Areas		
TOPS	Threatened or Protected species (in terms of NEMBA)		
VEGMAP	The National Vegetation Map Project		
VU	Vulnerable		
WSA	Water Source Area		



1 INTRODUCTION

Scientific Terrestrial Services (Pty) Ltd (STS) was appointed to conduct a Faunal and Avifaunal Assessment for a proposed Cape Winelands Airport on Portions 3, 4 and RE of Farm 474, Joostenbergs Kloof, Portions 23, 10 and the RE of the Farm 724 Joostenbergs Vlakte, and Portion 7 of Farm 942, Kliprug, to determine if any constraints from a faunal or avifaunal perspective may hinder possible future development. This report discusses the findings in relation to portions of the above listed farm portions which henceforth referred to as the "study area". The study area is located approximately 13 km northeast of the suburb of Durbanville, City of Cape Town District Municipality near Fisantekraal, Western Cape Province. More specifically, the study area is situated north of the R312, to the east of R302 and to the west of R304 (Figure 1 and 2).

The study area has been subject to historical mining and agricultural activities, and more currently, activities associated with the existing Cape Winelands Airport. The existing airport, confined to the southern portion of the study area, is a former South African Air Force airfield built circa 1943 and is currently operational as a general flying airfield used for flight training, aircraft maintenance, private charter flights, hangarage for private plane owners, and the sale of aviation fuel.





Figure 1: Digital satellite image depicting the study area and study area in relation to surrounding areas.





Figure 2: The study and study area depicted on a 1:50 000 topographical map in relation to the surrounding area.



1.1 Scope of Work

Specific outcomes in terms of Part A of the report are as follows:

- Compile a desktop assessment with all relevant information as presented by SANBI's Biodiversity Geographic Information Systems (BGIS) website (http://bgis.sanbi.org) and the Environmental Geographical Information Systems (E-GIS) website (<u>https://egis.environment.gov.za/</u>). The desktop assessment aims to gain background information on the physical habitat and potential floral and faunal ecology associated with the study area;
- To state the indemnity and terms of use of this report (Appendix A) as well as to provide the details of the specialists who prepared the reports (Appendix E);
- To outline the legislative requirements that were considered for the assessment (Appendix B of this report); and
- To provide the methodologies followed relating to the impact assessment and development of the mitigation measures (Appendix C) that was applied in the faunal and avifaunal assessments (Part B and Part C).

1.2 Assumptions and Limitations

The following assumptions and limitations are applicable to this report:

- The biodiversity desktop assessment is confined to the study area and does not include detailed results of the adjacent properties, although the sensitivity of surrounding areas has been included on the relevant maps;
- It is important to note that although all data sources used provide useful and often verifiable, high-quality data, the various databases used do not always provide an entirely accurate indication of the actual site characteristics within the study area at the scale required to inform an environmental process. However, this information is useful as background information to the study and, based on the desktop results; sufficient decision making can take place with regards to the proposed development; and
- Field assessments were undertaken during summer from the 14th to the 16th February 2022 and also during winter from the 16th and 17 of August 2022. The field assessments aimed to determine the ecological status of the entire study area and to "ground-truth" the results of the desktop assessment (as presented in Parts B and C).



1.3 Legislative Requirements

The following legislative requirements were considered during the assessment:

- > The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996¹;
- > The National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA);
- Government Notice (GN) No. 320 Protocol for the Specialist Assessment and Minimum Report Content Requirements for Environmental Impacts on Terrestrial Biodiversity as published in Government Gazette 43110 dated 20 June 2020;
- GN No. 1150 Protocol for the Specialist Assessment and Minimum Report Content Requirements for Environmental Impacts on Terrestrial Plant and Terrestrial Animal Species as published in Government Gazette 43855 dated 30 August 2021;
- The National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004) (NEMBA);
- GN No. R.1020: Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, 2020, in Government Gazette 43735 dated 25 September 2020 as it relates to the NEMBA;
- > The Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983) (CARA);
- > The National Forest Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998, amended 2001) (NFA); and
- Solution Solution

The details of each of the above, as they pertain to this study, are provided in Appendix B of this report.

2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed CWA development is situated on Portions 3, 4 and RE of Farm 474, Joostenbergs Kloof, Portions 23, 10 and the RE of the Farm 724 Joostenbergs Vlakte, and Portion 7 of Farm 942, Kliprug, Fisantekraal, within the City of Cape Town (CoCT) District Municipality. The study area is located approximately 11 km northeast of the suburb of Durbanville and 25 km northeast of the Cape Town International Airport. More specifically, the study area is situated north of the R312, to the east of R302 and to the west of R304 (Figure 1 and 2). The study area has been subject to historical mining and agricultural activities, and more currently, activities associated with the existing Cape Winelands Airport. The existing airport, confined to the southern portion of the study area, is a former South African Air Force

¹ Since 1996, the Constitution has been amended by seventeen amendments acts. The Constitution is formally entitled the 'Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996". It was previously also numbered as if it were an Act of Parliament – Act No. 108 of 1996 – but since the passage of the Citation of Constitutional Laws Act, neither it nor the acts amending it are allocated act numbers.



airfield built circa 1943 and is currently operational as a general flying airfield used for flight training, aircraft maintenance, private charter flights, hangarage for private plane owners, and the sale of aviation fuel.

While no site or activity alternatives exist, <u>four</u> development layout alternatives for the proposed CWA exist:

- 1. A "no-development" option;
- 2. An initial phased development alternative (alternative 2);
- 3. A revised phased development option (alternative 3); and
- 4. An amended phased development option (the preferred alternative 4).

The preferred alternative for the proposed CWA development entails developing the existing airport and adjacent plots of land into a commercial and aviation hub, supporting flight operations domestically as well as regionally, serving as a "reliever" airport to the Cape Town International Airport, with a particular focus on non-aeronautical revenue streams. Four concrete air strips currently exist on site, each of 90 m width and of varying lengths, and referred to as air strips 01-19, 05-23, 14-32 and 03-21 (**Error! Reference source not found.**).

New Preferred Alternative 4:

Based on the comments received from IAPs and organs of state, a new preferred Alternative 4 was developed. Alternative 4 developed from the previous preferred Alternative 3, it consists of the same footprint and scope as Alt 3, minor additions were added (the fuel line was extended into the GA precinct; the internal precinct boundaries were corrected; the 3 boreholes are indicated; the incoming potable line has been added), this alternative also omits the short cross runway initially included in the project scope.

Phase 1 of the Runway Developmenti

In Phase 1, the airport will comprise of one runway, which will be at an orientation of 01-19 and a length of 3.5km and will be constructed to serve up to Code 4F instrument operations. This runway will be shared by all operators, including scheduled commercial as well as general aviation, where intersection take-off points will be introduced on the runway to improve efficiency for general aviation operations.





Figure 3: Phase 1 of proposed CWA development (CWA Ltd, August 2024)

Phase 2 of the Runway development

In Phase 2 the airport development strategy is based on the continued development of the various precincts based on market demand with the main runway shared by all operators, including scheduled commercial as well as general aviation.



Figure 4: Phase 2 of proposed CWA development (CWA Ltd, January 2025)



NOTE: Due to the nature of the EIA process the current proposed SDP (Appendix 26 to the EIA) may evolve as part of the process and will be updated along with other preferred alternatives during the EIA Phase.

Alternative Design/Technology

Technology related to Energy – Solar & Biodigester vs Eskom supply

The site currently contains an existing 66kVA Eskom supply; however, the intention is to reduce reliance on the Eskom supply as far as possible, and therefore renewable energy alternatives are being considered – specifically a biodigester plant and solar photo voltaic systems. In the previous DEIAR wind turbines (land or roof based) were also included, but based on design and space constraints the technology option has been removed. The provision of a completely off-the-grid source is intended as the ideal solution; the Eskom supply will serve as a backup source in the event of plant-failure / maintenance requirements or unfavourable weather conditions.

Three types of sustainable energy sources were previously considered, namely, (a) use of chicken manure/ sewage effluent in biodigester plant to run spare-ignition gas- engine generator sets, (b) photo-voltaic power supplies, including optional storage batteries, and (c) wind energy by turbine installation on roof or open land areas. As stated above, the option of wind energy has been removed. Further to this, based on comments received from IAPs, the use of chicken manure in the biodigester has been removed.

The initial assessed load of 5MVA has been evaluated by Eskom who have confirmed their capability to provide this load. This capacity is sufficient for the PAL1 stage. The final load required by the site will be determined during the operating and expansion phases of the Airport, as described for phases PAL2, PAL3 and PAL4. This increased load can be provided for using sustainable power systems, notably photo-voltaic power with battery storage. It is also noted that alternative sustainable sources including biodigester generator plant and wind-turbine systems, can be used to supplement battery energy storage for the intended continuous electrical loads above 5MVA. This will enable a final energy mix of 50% Eskom and 50% sustainable sources, with periods of off-grid power being used as far as possible. CWA intends to generate electricity from a renewable source less than 100MW considering the available roof space and open areas proposed. The generation will be for private off-take and own use only. The operation will not feed power into the Eskom grid via a Renewable Energy IPP Procurement Programme (REIPPPP) bidding process. Therefore, the DEA&DP is the competent authority for authorisation in terms of NEMA.



Biodigester Plant:

• <u>The feed stream will comprise treated effluent from the WWTW (200m³/day) and</u> <u>cultivated biomass / energy crop (15t/day). Organic waste from the site may be used to</u> <u>supplement the feed. Treated biosolids from the WWTW may be used to supplement if tested</u> <u>to not be hazardous.</u>

• <u>System designed to provide 1MW continuous power, at a cost/unit of electricity</u> <u>comparable to Eskom per-unit energy charges.</u>

• <u>The spark ignition engines provide the best fuel-economy and cost efficiency when run</u> <u>continuously at 100% load (i.e., 24/7/365)</u>

• <u>A single biogas fuelled engine should have an availability of around 93.5% (8200 Hours</u> PA out of total of 8766 hours PA). A second engine can be used to provide the continuous backup if needed.

• <u>The biodigester plant creates biogas which is accumulated into a (large) bladder</u> system.

• <u>The "waste" from the biodigester plant comprises "liquid fertilizer" which is planned to</u> <u>distribute to local farms within a 40km radius of the plant.</u>

• <u>The biodigester can be combined with other sources of waste, including food waste</u> and non-hazardous sewage. Treated sewage, which is not regarded as hazardous waste, can be used in the biodigester.

Photo-Voltaic Systems (Solar PV):

According to SANDS The entire site comprises an ideal area for the creation of photo-voltaic (PV) power sources. The following considerations will be applicable to the provision and installation of PV Power Sources:

• <u>Given the primary function and usage of the site as an airport, any PV Power Source</u> system will be subjected to a Glint and Glare Study to ensure the panels installed will have no impact on air traffic safety.

• <u>CWA intends to generate electricity from photo-voltaic renewable sources of more than</u> 20MW but less than 100MW considering the available roof space and open areas proposed.

• <u>The fitment of PV Power Sources to airport service buildings plus and commercial</u> <u>buildings and parking facilities will present further opportunities for the fitting of PV Power</u> <u>Source Systems.</u>

• <u>The scope and sizes/areas of the commercial buildings and planned aircraft hangar</u> <u>facilities will provide significant further opportunities for the fitting of PV Power Source</u> <u>Systems as well.</u>



• <u>The PV Power Sources will be integrated onto the Site Micro-Grid electrical</u> infrastructure using the planned medium voltage distribution network. This will enhance the capability of the PV Power Sources to provide power over the entire CWA Site Micro-Grid and will further lessen the impact of rolling cloud cover decreasing PV output.

• <u>The Site Micro-Grid will be setup, controlled and managed such that the use of</u> <u>Secondary Backup and Primary non-renewable sources is minimized.</u>

Eskom supply:

The CWA site has an existing Eskom supply which will have to be expanded on.

The proposed new Eskom supply will entail the following:

• <u>The bulk mains electrical supply will be connected to the Eskom Grid via an overhead</u> <u>11,000 Volt three phase connection.</u>

• <u>The connection will be completed using two feeders, providing a degree of redundancy</u> to the mains supply; this is in accordance with good engineering practice, where critical systems are connected.

• <u>The two feeders will be connected to their Fisantekraal Substation. The feeders will be</u> routed to the site using 11,000 Volt open-conductor cables fixed to gum-poles, with the final routing of the Eskom connection will be confirmed later.

• <u>The bulk electricity supply will terminate within the Cape Winelands Airport site and</u> this connection point will comprise an Eskom local substation, comprising the final overhead pole, overhead drop-out line-fuses, medium voltage 3-core cable connection to metering substation fitted with dual outgoing feeder connections. All this outdoor equipment to be housed in fenced/secure enclosure (likely 5000mm by 4000mm).

The Eskom supply will remain as backup supply on site to the solar/biodigester energy supply.

Technology related to Waste Management – Disposal to landfill vs Biodigester –

Waste generated from operations on site will include general and recyclable waste. Waste separation at source will allow disposal of recyclable waste to local transfer station or pickup by licenced waste operators on site.

General and hazardous waste will be disposed to landfill (Vissershok landfill site) with transfer by road. Waste will be stored at a designated and managed point for a short period on site before collection and disposal to landfill.



The biodigester can be combined with other sources of waste, including general organic waste. The on-site source of general waste will feed directly into the biodigester and contribute to the generation of energy from waste. The biodigester plant creates biogas, and the "waste" from the biodigester plant comprises "liquid fertilizer" which could be distributed to local farms. Treated biosolids from the WWTW could potentially also be used in the biodigester if tested and found to be non-hazardous.

<u>Technology related to wastewater treatment and management – On site treatment vs</u> <u>Disposal to CoCT</u>

Due to the proximity of the CWA to the Fisantekraal WWTW an option would be to install a pumpstation and associated rising main that conveys the flows directly to Fisantekraal WWTW to the North. Another option entails the construction of an on-site wastewater treatment plant. The intention is that the treated effluent is then re-used as non-potable water on the site for irrigation, in the biodigester and toilet flushing.

An internal network will collect sewage from the various buildings within the western precinct and convey it to a package treatment plant. The wastewater treatment plant will treat to a guality that meets the applicable limits. The treated effluent will then be stored and reused on the site as non-potable water supply.

<u>The biodigester can be combined with other sources of waste, including treated sewage. The</u> <u>treated sewage water could be suitable to feed the biodigester plant. Treated biosolids from</u> <u>the WWTW can also be used in the biodigester if found to be non-hazardous.</u>

Preferred option: The proposed solution for sewage discharge on the development integrates a dual-treatment approach to efficiently manage effluent and meet non-potable water demands. Sewage from the development will be diverted through a pump system to a proposed on-site package treatment plant. This plant will treat the sewage to a standard suitable for non-potable water use, such as irrigation or flushing, thereby addressing the development's internal non-potable water requirements. To avoid excessive effluent production and maintain compliance with wastewater discharge regulations, the remaining sewage will be directed to the nearby municipal wastewater treatment works (WWTW) for further treatment and disposal. This approach aims to optimize effluent reuse, reduce pressure on the WWTW, as well as environmental concerns with respect to excess treated effluent generated.



3 ASSESSMENT APPROACH

Maps and digital satellite images were generated prior to the field assessment to determine broad habitats, vegetation types and potentially sensitive sites. Relevant databases and documentation that were considered during the desktop assessment of the study area included ²:

- 2010 National Protected Area Expansion Strategy (NPAES) (Government of South Africa. 2010; DEA & SANBI, 2009), including the below-listed vector datasets:
 - <u>NPAES Focus Areas 2010</u>: National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy: Focus areas for protected area expansion (South African National Parks (SanParks), 2010);
 - <u>NPAES Formal</u>: Polygons of formal protected national parks areas in South Africa (SANParks/SANBI, 2013); and
 - <u>NPAES Protected Areas Informal</u>: Informal conservation areas in South Africa (SANParks/SANBI, 2012).
- > The South African Conservation Areas Database, Quarter 3 (SACAD, 2021);
- > The South African Protected Areas Database, Quarter 3 (SAPAD, 2021);
- > The City of Cape Town Biodiversity Network (2019)
- The National Vegetation Map Project (VEGMAP), with the below vector dataset used for information on Biomes, Bioregions and Vegetation Type(s):
 - 2018 Final Vegetation Map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (SANBI 2006–2018; SANBI, 2018a).
- > The National List of Threatened Ecosystems 2011 (SANBI 2011; South Africa, 2011);
- From the National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA, 2018) Terrestrial Assessment project (Skowno *et al.*, 2019):
 - 2018 Terrestrial ecosystem threat status and protection level remaining extent (SANBI, 2018b); and
 - 2018 Terrestrial ecosystem threat status and protection level layer (SANBI, 2018c).
- The Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas (IBA) Programme and vector dataset (BirdLife South Africa, 2015; Marnewick et al., 2015a and 2015b), in conjunction with the South African Bird Atlas Project 2 (SABAP 2);

⁻ Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) Environmental Geographical Information Systems (E-GIS) website. URL: https://egis.environment.gov.za/



² Datasets obtained from:

SANBI BGIS (2019). The South African National Biodiversity Institute - Biodiversity GIS (BGIS) [online]. URL: <u>http://bgis.sanbi.org</u> as retrieved in 2019; and

- > The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN);
- > The National Web-Based Environmental Screening Tool (accessed 2022); and
- > From the 2017 Strategic Water Source Areas (SWSA) project:
 - o 2017 SWSA Surface water (Water Research Commission, 2017).



4 RESULTS OF THE DESKTOP ANALYSIS

4.1 Conservation Characteristics of the Study area based on National and Provincial Datasets

The following section contains data accessed as part of the desktop assessment and are presented as a "dashboard" report below (Table 2). The dashboard report aims to present concise summaries of the data on as few pages as possible in order to allow for improved assimilation of results by the reader to take place. Where required, further discussion and interpretation are provided.



Table 1: Summary of the biodiversity characteristics associated with the larger study area [Quarter Degree Square (QDS) 3318DC)].

DE	SCRIPTION OF	THE VEGETATION TYPE(S) RELEVANT TO THE STUD	Y AREA ACCORDING TO MUCINA & RUTHERFORD (24	012; 2018 (BETA-VERSION) (FIGURE 3)	
BIOME		The study area is situated within the Fynbos Biome.			
BIOREGION (FIGURE 5)			past Renosterveld Bioregion and the remaining portions	fall within the Southwest Fynbos Bioregion.	
VEGETATION TY	PE (FIGURE 6)	The majority of the study area falls within the Swartland Granite Renosterveld and the remaining portions fall within the Cape Flats Sand Fynbos, and the Swartland Shale Renosterveld Vegetation Types .			
		Swartland Granite Renosterveld (FRg2)	Cape Flats Sand Fynbos (FFd5)	Swartland Shale Renosterveld (FRs9)	
ALTITUDE (M)		50 - 350	20 – 200	50 – 350	
CLIMATE		Mists common in winter. This is the wettest renosterveld unit	Winter-rainfall regime	Winter-rainfall regime	
	MAP (mm)	520	576	430	
щ	MAT (°C)	16.3	16.2	17.2	
IAT	MFD (Days)	3	3	3	
CLIMATE	MAPE (mm)	2087	2034	2257	
Ö	MASMS (%)	68	65	70	
DISTRIBUTION		Western Cape	Western Cape	Western Cape	
GEOLOGY & SOILS		Coarse sandy to loamy soils of a variety of forms ranging from Glenrosa and Mispah, to prismacutanic and pedocutanic diagnostic horizons to red-yellow apedal soils—all derived from Cape Granite. The soils can contain a considerable volume of moisture in winter and spring. Land types mainly Fa, Ca, Db and Ac.	Acid, tertiary, deep, grey regic sands, usually white, often Lamotte form. Land types mainly Ga, Hb and Db.	Clay soils derived from Malmesbury Group shales (specifically the Porterville Formation in the north and east and the Moorreesburg Formation in the west). The soils contain prismacutanic and pedocutanic diagnostic horizons and Glenrosa and Mispah forms are predominant. Land types mainly Db, Fb and Da.	
CONSERVATION		This is a critically endangered vegetation unit of which almost 80 % has already been transformed due to prime quality of the land for agriculture (vineyards, olive orchards, pastures) and also by urban sprawl. Hence the conservation target of 26 % remains unattainable. Only very small portions (0.5%) enjoy statutory protection. Alien grasses are particularly pervasive, the most important being <i>Lolium multiflorum, Avena fatua</i> and <i>Bromus diandrus</i> (Musil <i>et al.</i> 2005). Alien woody species include <i>Acacia saligna, Pinus pinaster</i> as well as various species of <i>Eucalyptus</i> . Erosion is considered to be very low, low and moderate.	Critically endangered. Target 30 %. Less than 1 % statutorily conserved. This is the most transformed of the sand fynbos types—more than 80 % of the area has already been transformed (hence the conservation target remains unattainable) by urban sprawl (Cape Town metropolitan area) and for cultivation. Most remaining patches are small pockets surrounded by urban areas. Most of these patches have been identified as 'Core Conservation Sites' (Wood <i>et al.</i> 1994). They are mismanaged by mowing, fire protection and by alien plant invasion. Mowing eliminates serotinous and taller species, while fire protection results in a few common thicket species (e.g. <i>Carpobrotus edulis, Chrysanthemoides monilifera</i>), replacing the rich fynbos species. Alien woody species include <i>Acacia saligna, A. cyclops</i> and species of <i>Pinus</i> and <i>Eucalyptus</i> . Dumping and spread of alien grasses (both annual and <i>Pennisetum clandestinum</i>) are also a	This is a critically endangered vegetation unit. Target 26 %, but since 90 % of the area has been totally transformed (mainly for cropland), the target remains unattainable. The remnants are found in isolated pockets, usually on steeper ground. So far only a few patches have been included in conservation schemes (e.g. Elandsberg, Paardenberg). Aliens include <i>Acacia saligna</i> (very scattered over 65 %), <i>A. mearnsii</i> (very scattered over 62 %) as well as several species of <i>Prosopis</i> and <i>Eucalyptus</i> . Alien annual grasses of the genera <i>Avena</i> , <i>Briza</i> , <i>Bromus</i> , <i>Lolium</i> , <i>Phalaris</i> and <i>Vulpia</i> are a primary problem in remnant patches. Other serious aliens include herbs such as <i>Erodium cicutarium</i> , <i>E. moschatum</i> , <i>Echium plantagineum</i> and <i>Petrorhagia prolifera</i> .	



		levels and a conversion to and near-annual fires. Sor plant species occur on the The endemics include six s	as result in elevated nutrien <i>Eragrostis curvula</i> grassland ne 84 Red Data sand fynbos remnants within Cape Town species listed as extinct in the e being reintroduced from	1 5 9
		Erosion is considered to be	e very low.	
VEGETATION & LANDSCAPE FEATURES (DOMINANT FLORAL TAXA IN APPENDIX D)	Moderate foot slopes and undulating plains supporting a mosaic of grasslands/herblands and medium dense, microphyllous shrublands dominated by renosterbos. Groups of small trees and tall shrubs are associated with heuweltjies and rock outcrops. The boundary with FFg 2 Boland Granite Fynbos is diffuse and patchy.	moderately tall, ericoid sh emergent tall shrubs. Prot	nd flat plains, with dense rubland containing scattered eoid and restioid fynbos ard ous and ericaceous fynbos er areas, respectively.	 feature of the environment, forming 'hummockveld' near Piketberg and giving the Tygerberg Hills their name.
	AINING TO THE AREA OF INTEREST (VARIOUS DATAI	BASES)	NATIONAL WEB BASED	ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING TOOL (2022)
 NBA (2018): 1) ECOSYSTEM THREAT STATUS 2) ECOSYSTEM PROTECTION LEVEL 	Large portions of the study area fall within the remaining extent of the Endangered Swartland Granite Renosterveld, while small western and eastern portions fall within the Critically Endangered Cape Flats Sand Fynbos and a small southern portion falls within the Critically Endangered Swartland Shale Renosterveld		all landscape to be assessed within the EA process. This assists with implementing the mitigation bierarchy by allowing development to adjust their proposed development	
RED LIST OF ECOSYSTEMS (2022)	According to the RLE dataset (2022), the southern and we area is located within a threatened ecosystem, i.e. Renosterveld (in line with the NBA). The threat status of t same – endangered (trigger criteria: A2b, A3, A3alt, B1 NBA dataset. Small isolated patches of the study a threatened ecosystems: Cape Flats Sand Fynbos (CF Renosterveld (SSR), both of which are considered crit	., the Swartland Granite his ecosystem remains the 1(i), B1(iii)) as in the 2018 rea is located within the SF) and Swartland Shale	Animal species theme (Figure 8)	For the animal species theme, the study area is considered to have a high and medium sensitivity . The sensitivities were triggered by the potential occurrence of the following species: the avifauna <i>Circus</i> <i>maurus</i> (Black Harrier, EN), <i>Circus ranivorus</i> (African Marsh Harrier, EN), <i>Sagittarius serpentarius</i> (Secretarybird, VU), <i>Pelecanus onocrotalus</i> (Great White

³ Ecosystem types are categorised as "not protected", "poorly protected", "moderately protected" and "well protected" based on the proportion of each ecosystem type that occurs within a protected area recognised in the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, 2003 (Act No. 57 of 2003) (NEMPAA) and compared with the biodiversity target for that ecosystem type. The ecosystem protection level status is assigned using the following criteria:

iv. If less than 5% it is hardly protected.



If an ecosystem type has more than 100% of its biodiversity target protected in a formal protected area either a or b, it is classified as well protected, When less than 100% of the biodiversity target is met in formal a or b protected areas it is classified it as moderately protected, i.

ii.

iii. If less than 50% of the biodiversity target is met, it is classified it as poorly protected, and

	criteria: B1(i), B1(iii) for CFSF and A3, A3alt and SSR. The RLE dataset further		Pelican VU), <i>Hydroprogne caspia</i> (Caspian Tern, VU) and
	indicates that these ecosystem are endemic to South Africa. Refer to Figure 9 .		Afrotis afra (Southern Black Korhaan, VU). Invertebrates:
			Pachysoma aesculapius, Conocephalus peringueyi, and
	The purpose of listing protected ecosystems is primarily to preserve witness sites of		Aneuryphymus montanus.
	exceptionally high conservation value. The revised list (known as the RLE 2022) is		For the Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme, the study area is
	based on assessments that followed the IUCN RLE framework (version 1.1) and	Terrestrial biodive	
	covers all 456 terrestrial ecosystem types described in South Africa (Mucina and	theme	sensitivity features include a CBA 1, CBA 2, a critically
	Rutherford 2006; with updates described in Dayaram et al., 2019). The revised list		endangered ecosystems and an endangered ecosystem.
	identifies 120 threatened terrestrial ecosystem types (55 CR, 51 EN and 14 VU	STRATEGIC WATE	R SOURCE AREAS FOR SURFACE WATER (2017)
	types).		tegic Water Source Area (SWSAs) are defined as areas of land
			portionate (i.e., relatively large) quantity of mean annual surface
	Following a series of consultations with conservation authorities and the public in		on to their size, they include transboundary areas that extend into
	2020/21, the revised list of terrestrial ecosystems that are threatened and in need of		iland. The Sub-National Water Source Areas (WSAs) are not
	protection was the approved by the minister for implementation in august 2022. The		as defined in the report but were included to provide a complete
	revised list was published in the government gazette (gazette number 47526, notice	coverage.	as defined in the report but were included to provide a complete
	number 2747) and came into effect on 18 November 2022.	coverage.	The study area is not within 10 km of a Surface Water Strategic
		Name & Criteria	Water Source Area.
		PROTECTED ARE	
		PROTECTED AREA	
		IBA (2015)	The study area is not located within or near an IBA (within 10
		, ,	km).
			According to the South African Protected Areas Database
			(SAPAD, 2021) ⁴ , the South African Conservation Areas
		SAPAD (2021,	Database (SACAD, 2021) ⁵ and the National Protected Areas
		Q1); SACAD	Expansion Strategy (NPAES, 2009), the following protected
		(2021, Q1);	areas are located within 10km of the study area: Botterblom
		NPAES (2009)	Nature Reserve, Durbanville Nature Reserve, Uitkamp
		(Figure 10).	Wetlad Nature Reserve, Joostenberg Private Nature
			Reserve (Informal), JN Briers Louw Nature Reserve (formal)
			and Cape Winelands Biosphere Reserve.
CITY OF CAPE TOWN BODIVERS	SITY NETWORK (COCT. 2019) (FIGURE 11)		

CITY OF CAPE TOWN BODIVERSITY NETWORK (COCT, 2019) (FIGURE 11)

⁵ SACAD (2020): The types of conservation areas that are currently included in the database are the following: 1. Biosphere reserves, 2. Ramsar sites, 3. Stewardship agreements (other than nature reserves and protected environments), 4. Botanical gardens, 5. Transfrontier conservation areas, 6. Transfrontier parks, 7. Military conservation areas and 8. Conservancies.



⁴ **SAPAD (2020):** The definition of protected areas follows the definition of a protected area as defined in the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, (Act 57 of 2003). Chapter 2 of the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, 2003 sets out the "System of Protected Areas", which consists of the following kinds of protected areas - 1. Special nature reserves; 2. National parks; 3. Nature reserves; 4. Protected environments (1-4 declared in terms of the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, 2003); 5. World heritage sites declared in terms of the World Heritage Convention Act; 6. Marine protected areas declared in terms of the Marine Living Resources Act; 7. Specially protected forest areas, forest nature reserves, and forest wilderness areas declared in terms of the National Forests Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998); and 8. Mountain catchment areas declared in terms of the Mountain Catchment Areas Act, 1970 (Act No. 63 of 1970).

CRITICAL BIODIVERSITY AREA (CBA): CATEGORY 1B	According to the City of Cape Town Biodiversity Network (2019), portions of the south eastern and northern portions of the study area is classified as a Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBA) Category 1b . CBA 1b's are areas that are considered irreplaceable, usually composing of critically endangered vegetation of high and medium quality. These areas are needed for national targets and any loss is a permanent and irrevocable loss. Appropriate legal conservation status needs to be obtained, natural ecosystems must be maintained, degraded land must be restored to natural and managed so that no further degradation occurs. Loss of habitat will probably result in extinction of some species and the inability to attain conservation targets.
CRITICAL BIODIVERSITY AREA (CBA): CATEGORY 1D	According to the City of Cape Town Biodiversity Network (2019), a small portion of the northern portion of the study area is classified as a Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBA) Category 1d. CBA 1d's are areas that are considered irreplaceable consolidation sites, usually composing of critically endangered vegetation of low / restorable condition. These areas are essential for management consolidation and viability of CBA 1A, 1b and protected sites. These areas are needed for local, national and international significance and are required to make existing remnants ecologically viable. The loss of these areas would result in the loss of higher condition remnants through the degradation of ecological processes and exorbitant intervention ecosystem management costs.
CRITICAL BIODIVERSITY AREA (CBA) CATEGORY 2	According to the COCT Biodiversity Network (2019) the central portion of the southern section of the study area is classified as a Critical Biodiversity Area Category 2. CBA 2's are areas that considered irreplaceable with low condition sites. These areas are critically endangered vegetation of restorable condition. These areas are needed for national targets but not for management consolidation, connectivity or viability of priority biodiversity network sites. Appropriate legal conservation status needs to be obtained, natural ecosystems must be maintained, degraded land must be restored to natural and managed so that no further degradation occurs.

FEPA = Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area; NBA = National Biodiversity Assessment; NPAES = National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy; SAPAD = South African Protected Areas Database; IBA = Important Bird and Biodiversity Area; MAP – Mean annual precipitation; MAT – Mean annual temperature; MAPE – Mean annual potential evaporation; MFD = Mean Frost Days; MASMS – Mean Annual Soil Moisture Stress (% of days when evaporative demand was more than double the soil moisture supply).





Figure 5: The Bioregions associated with the study area, according to Mucina and Rutherford (2018).





Figure 6: The vegetation types associated with the study area, according to the Mucina and Rutherford (2018).





Figure 7: The study area located within the remaining extent of the critically endangered Cape Flats Sand Fynbos, Swartland Shale Renosterveld and the endangered Swartland Granite Renosterveld, according to the National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA, 2018).





Figure 8: Sensitivity of the Animal Species Theme for the study area, according to the National Web-based Screening Tool (2023).





Figure 9: The remaining extent of the threatened ecosystems associated with the study area, based on the National Threatened Ecosystems (2022).




Figure 10: The protected and conservation areas located within a 10 km radius of the study area, (SAPAD, 2021; SACAD, 2021 and NPAES, 2009).





Figure 11: The biodiversity importance of the study area, according to the City of Cape Town Biodiversity Network, 2019.



5 STRUCTURE OF THE BIODIVERSITY REPORT

Part A of this report served to introduce the study area, as well as the general approach to the study. Part A also presents the results of general desktop information reviewed as part of the study including the information generated by the relevant authorities as well as the context of the site in relation to the surrounding anthropogenic activities and ecological character.

Part B presents the results of the faunal field assessment (excluding avifauna), data analyses and discussion of the preliminary findings.

Part C presents the results of the avifaunal field assessment, data analyses and discussion of the preliminary findings.



6 **REFERENCES**

Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983) (CARA)

Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996

DEA & SANBI. 2009. National Protected Area Expansion Strategy Resource Document.

- Frisby, A.W., Siebert, S.J., Struwig, M. and Cilliers, D.P., 2019. Plant endemism in Griqualand West, South Africa. South African Journal of Botany, 124, pp.127-137.
- Government of South Africa. 2010. National protected area expansion strategy for South Africa 2008. Priorities for expanding the protected area network for ecological sustainability and climate change adaptation. Pretoria, South Africa: The Government of South Africa.
- Hui C, Richardson DM (2017) Invasion dynamics. Oxford University Press, Oxford. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198745334.001.0001
- Marnewick MD, Retief EF, Theron NT, Wright DR, Anderson TA. 2015a. Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas of South Africa. Johannesburg: BirdLife South Africa.
- Marnewick MD, Retief EF, Wright DR, Theron NT. 2015b. South Africa's Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas Status Report 2015. Johannesburg: BirdLife South Africa.
- Mucina, L. and Rutherford, M.C. (2006). The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Strelitzia 19.,(South African National Biodiversity Institute: Pretoria, South Africa). Memoirs of the Botanical Survey of South Africa

National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA)

National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004) (NEMBA)

- National Web Based Environmental Screening Tool (2022). Accessible online: https://screening.environment.gov.za/screeningtool/#/pages/welcome
- Richardson DM, Pyšek P, Carlton JT (2011) A compendium of essential concepts and terminology in invasion ecology. In: Richardson DM (ed) Fifty years of invasion ecology. The legacy of Charles Elton. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, pp 409–420. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444329988</u>. ch30
- SABAP2, 2014. The South Africa Bird Atlas Project 2 database
- SACAD: Department of Environmental Affairs. (2021). *South Africa Conservation Areas Database* (SACAD_OR_2021_Q3). Online available: [http://egis.environment.gov.za]
- SANBI. 2011. National List of Threatened Ecosystems 2011 [vector geospatial dataset] 2011. Available from the Biodiversity GIS website.
- SANBI 2012. Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines 2012 [Raster] 2012. Available from the Biodiversity GIS website.
- SANBI, 2006-2018. The Vegetation Map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland, Mucina, L., Rutherford, M.C. and Powrie, L.W. (Editors), Online, http://bgis.sanbi.org/SpatialDataset/Detail/18, Version 2018.
- SANBI. 2018a. 2018 Final Vegetation Map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland [Vector] 2018. Available from the Biodiversity GIS website.
- SANBI. 2018b. Terrestrial ecosystem threat status and protection level remaining extent [Vector] 2018. URL: http://bgis.sanbi.org
- SANBI. 2018c. Terrestrial ecosystem threat status and protection level layer [Vector] 2018. URL: http://bgis.sanbi.org
- SANBI. 2013. Grasslands Ecosystem Guidelines: landscape interpretation for planners and managers. Compiled by Cadman, M., de Villiers, C., Lechmere-Oertel, R. and D. McCulloch. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria
- SANParks/SANBI. 2013. NPAES Formal [vector geospatial dataset] 2013. Available from the Biodiversity GIS website
- SANParks/SANBI. 2012. NPAES Protected Areas Informal 2010 [vector geospatial dataset] 2012. Available from the Biodiversity GIS website.
- South Africa. 2011. National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act: National list of ecosystems that are threatened and in need of protection. Government Gazette, 558(34809): 1 544, December 9.



- South African National Parks (SANParks). 2010. NPAES Focus Areas 2010 [vector geospatial dataset] 2010. Available from the Biodiversity GIS website.
- SAPAD: Department of Environmental Affairs. (2021). South Africa Protected Areas Database (SAPAD_OR_2021_Q3). Online available: [http://egis.environment.gov.za].
- Skowno, Andrew & C.J., Poole, & Raimondo, Domitilla & K.J.,, Sink, & Van Deventer, Heidi & Van Niekerk, Lara & Harris, Linda & Smith-Adao, Lindie & Tolley, Krystal & Zengeya, Tsungai & W.B.,, Foden, & G.F., Midgley, & Driver, Amanda. 2019. National Biodiversity Assessment 2018: The status of South Africa's ecosystems and biodiversity. Synthesis Report. South African National Biodiversity Institute, an entity of the Department of Environment, Forestry and Fisheries, Pretoria.South Africa. 2011. National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act: National list of ecosystems that are threatened and in need of protection. Government Gazette, 558(34809): 1 544, December 9.
- Van Wyk, A. E., & Smith, G. F. (2001). Regions of floristic endemism in southern Africa: a review with emphasis on succulents. Umdaus press
- Wilson JRU, Gaertner M, Richardson DM et al (2017) Contributions to the national status report on biological invasions in South Africa. Bothalia 47:a2207. <u>https://doi.org/10.4102/abc.v47i2.2207</u>



APPENDIX A: Indemnity and Terms of Use of this Report

The findings, results, observations, conclusions and recommendations given in this report are based on the author's best scientific and professional knowledge as well as available information. The report is based on survey and assessment techniques which are limited by seasonality, time and budgetary constraints relevant to the type and level of investigation undertaken as well as the project program and STS CC and its staff reserve the right to, at their sole discretion, modify aspects of the report including the recommendations if and when new information becomes available from ongoing research or further work in this field or pertaining to this investigation.

Although STS CC exercises due care and diligence in rendering services and preparing documents, STS CC accepts no liability and the client, by receiving this document, indemnifies STS CC and its directors, managers, agents and employees against all actions, claims, demands, losses, liabilities, costs, damages and expenses arising from or in connection with services rendered, directly or indirectly by STS CC and by the use of the information contained in this document.

This report must not be altered or added to or used for any other purpose other than that for which it was produced without prior written consent of the author(s). This also refers to electronic copies of this report which are supplied for the purposes of inclusion as part of other reports, including main reports. Similarly, any recommendations, statements or conclusions drawn from or based on this report must refer to this report. If these form part of a main report relating to this investigation or report, this report must be included in its entirety as an appendix or separate section to the main report.



APPENDIX B: Legislative Requirements

The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996

The environment and the health and well-being of people are safeguarded under the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 by way of Section 24. Section 24(a) guarantees a right to an environment that is not harmful to human health or well-being and to environmental protection for the benefit of present and future generations. Section 24(b) directs the state to take reasonable legislative and other measures to prevent pollution, promote conservation, and secure the ecologically sustainable development and use of natural resources (including water and mineral resources) while promoting justifiable economic and social development. Section 27 guarantees every person the right of access to sufficient water, and the state is obliged to take reasonable legislative and other measures within its available resources to achieve the progressive realisation of this right. Section 27 is defined as a socio-economic right and not an environmental right. However, read with Section 24 it requires of the state to ensure that water is conserved and protected and that sufficient access to the resource is provided. Water regulation in South Africa places a great emphasis on protecting the resource and on providing access to water for everyone.

The National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA)

The National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No.107 of 1998) (NEMA) and the associated Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations (GN R326 as amended in 2017 and well as listing notices 1, 2 and 3 (GN R327, R325 and R324 of 2017), state that prior to any development taking place which triggers any activity as listed within the abovementioned regulations, an environmental authorisation process needs to be followed and environmental authorisation obtained. This could follow either the Basic Assessment process or the Environmental Impact Assessment process depending on the nature of the activity and scale of the anticipated impacts

The National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004) (NEMBA)

The objectives of this act are (within the framework of NEMA) to provide for:

- The management and conservation of biological diversity within the Republic of South Africa and of the components of such diversity;
- > The use of indigenous biological resources in a sustainable manner;
- The fair and equitable sharing among stakeholders of the benefits arising from bio prospecting involving indigenous biological resources;
- To give effect to ratify international agreements relating to biodiversity which are binding to the Republic;
- > To provide for cooperative governance in biodiversity management and conservation; and
- To provide for a South African National Biodiversity Institute to assist in achieving the objectives of this Act.

This act alludes to the fact that management of biodiversity must take place to ensure that the biodiversity of the surrounding areas are not negatively impacted upon, by any activity being undertaken, in order to ensure the fair and equitable sharing among stakeholders of the benefits arising from indigenous biological resources.

Furthermore, a person may not carry out a restricted activity involving either:

- a) A specimen of a listed threatened or protected species;
- b) Specimens of an alien species; or
- c) A specimen of a listed invasive species without a permit.



Government Notice number R.1020: Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, 2020 (in Government Gazette 43735), including Government Notice number 1003: Alien and Invasive Species Lists, 2020 (in Government Gazette 43726) as it relates to the NEMBA

NEMBA is administered by the Department of Environmental Affairs and aims to provide for the management and conservation of South Africa's biodiversity within the framework of the NEMA. This act in terms of alien and invasive species aims to:

- Prevent the unauthorised introduction and spread of alien and invasive species to ecosystems and habitats where they do not naturally occur;
- Manage and control alien and invasive species, to prevent or minimize harm to the environment and biodiversity; and
- Eradicate alien species and invasive species from ecosystems and habitats where they may harm such ecosystems or habitats.

Alien species are defined, in terms of the NEMBA as:

- (a) A species that is not an indigenous species; or
- (b) An indigenous species translocated or intended to be translocated to a place outside its natural distribution range in nature, but not an indigenous species that has extended its natural distribution range by natural means of migration or dispersal without human intervention.

Categories according to NEMBA (Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, 2020):

- > Category 1a: Invasive species that require compulsory control;
- Category 1b: Invasive species that require control by means of an invasive species management programme;
- Category 2: Commercially used plants that may be grown in demarcated areas, if there is a permit and that steps are taken to prevent their spread; and
- **Category 3:** Ornamentally used plants that may no longer be planted.

The Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983) (CARA)

Removal of the alien and weed species encountered in the application area must take place in order to comply with existing legislation (amendments to the regulations under the CARA, 1983 and Section 28 of the NEMA, 1998). Removal of AIP and weed species should take place throughout the construction and operation, phases in line with an approved AIP Management Plan.

The National Forest Act, 1998 (Act No. 10 of 1998) (NFA)

According to the department of Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) (previously the Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF)) ©2019 website (<u>https://www.daff.gov.za/daffweb3/</u>):

"In terms of the National Forests Act of 1998 certain tree species (types of trees) can be identified and declared as protected. The Department of Water Affairs and Forestry followed an objective, scientific and participative process to arrive at the new list of protected tree species, enacted in 2004. All trees occurring in natural forests are also protected in terms of the Act. Protective actions take place within the framework of the Act as well as national policy and guidelines. Trees are protected for a variety of reasons, and some species require strict protection while others require control over harvesting and utilization."

Applicable sections of the NFA pertaining to the proposed project include the below: **Section 12:**

Declaration of trees as protected

- 1) The Minister may declare
 - a. particular tree,
 - b. a particular group of trees,
 - c. a particular woodland; or
 - d. trees belonging to a particular species,



- to be a protected tree, group of trees, woodland or species.
- 2) The Minister may make such a declaration only if he or she is of the opinion that the tree, group of trees, woodland or species is not already adequately protected in terms of other legislation.
- 3) In exercising a discretion in terms of this section, the Minister must consider the principles set out in section 3(3) of the NFA.

Section 15(1):

No person may cut, disturb, damage or destroy any protected tree or possess, collect, remove, transport, export, purchase, sell, donate or in any other manner acquire or dispose of any protected tree or any forest product derived from a protected tree, except under a licence granted by the Minister or in terms of an exemption from the provisions of this subsection published by the Minister in the Gazette.

Contravention of this declaration is regarded as a first category offence that may result in a person who is found guilty of being sentenced to a fine or imprisonment for a period up to three years, or both a fine and imprisonment.



APPENDIX C: Impact Assessment Methodology

Criteria for specialist assessment of impacts

The specialists are provided with set criteria for undertaking their assessments, to allow for comparative assessment of all issues and impacts. These criteria are detailed in the Terms of Reference to each specialist.

These criteria are drawn from the EIA Regulations published by the Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (April 1998) in terms of the Environment Conservation Act, 1989 (Act No. 73 of 1989) and include:

• Nature of the impact:

This is an appraisal of the type of effect the construction, operation, and maintenance of a development would have on the affected environment. This description should include what is to be affected and how.

• Extent of the impact:

The specialist should describe whether the impact will be local (extending only as far as the

development site area) or limited to the site and its immediate surroundings; or will have an impact on the region; or will have an impact on a national scale or across international borders.

• Duration of the impact:

The specialist should indicate whether the lifespan of the impact would be short term (0-5 years), medium term (5-15 years), long term (16-30 years) or permanent.

• Intensity:

The specialist should establish whether the impact is destructive or benign and should be qualified as low, medium, or high. The specialist study must attempt to quantify the magnitude of the impacts and outline the rationale used.

• Probability of occurrence:

The specialist should describe the probability of the impact actually occurring and should be described as improbable (low likelihood), probable (distinct possibility), highly probable (most likely) or definite (impact will occur regardless of any prevention measures).

The impacts should also be assessed in terms of the following aspects:

• Legal requirements:

The specialist should identify and list the relevant South African legislation and permit requirements pertaining to the development proposals. He / she should provide reference to the procedures required to obtain permits and describe whether the development proposals contravene the applicable legislation.

• Status of the impact:

The specialist should determine whether the impacts are negative, positive, or neutral ("cost - benefit" analysis). The impacts are to be assessed in terms of their effect on the project and the environment. For example, an impact that is positive for the proposed development may be negative for the environment. It is important that this distinction is made in the analysis.

• Cumulative impact:

Consideration must be given to the extent of any cumulative impact that may occur due to the proposed development. Such impacts must be evaluated with an assessment of similar developments already in the environment. Such impacts will be either positive or negative, and will be graded as being of negligible, low, medium, or high impact.

• Degree of confidence in predictions:

The specialist should state what degree of confidence (low, medium, or high) exists in the predictions based on the available information and level of knowledge and expertise.



Based on a synthesis of the information contained in the above-described procedure, the specialist is required to assess the potential impacts in terms of the following significance criteria:

- No significance: the impacts do not influence the proposed development and/or environment in any way.
- Low significance: the impacts will have a minor influence on the proposed development and/or environment. These impacts require some attention to modification of the project design where possible, or alternative mitigation.
- Moderate significance: the impacts will have a moderate influence on the proposed development and/or environment. The impact can be ameliorated by a modification in the project design or implementation of effective mitigation measures.
- High significance: the impacts will have the "no-go" implication on the development or portions of the development regardless of any mitigation measures that could be implemented. This level of significance must be well motivated.

The EIA process is based on assessment of future impacts and consequences, therefor there is still possibility of uncertainties and unknown areas even though the scientific basis of the specialist studies is sound. Where unknowns and uncertainties exist, it should be indicated, and a conservative approach should be followed when assessing and determining the level of significance.

CRITERIA	CATEGORY	DESCRIPTION
EXTENT or	Regional (R)	Beyond 5km of the proposed development
Spatial influence of impact	Local (L)	Within 5 km of the proposed development
	Site specific (SS)	On site or within 100 m of the site boundary.
MAGNITUDE of NEGATIVE IMPACT	High (H)	Bio-physical and/ or social functions and/ or processes are severely altered.
(at the indicated spatial scale)	Medium (M)	Bio-physical and/ or social functions and/ or processes are <i>notably</i> altered.
	Low(L)	Bio-physical and/ or social functions and/ or processes are slightly altered.
	Very Low (VL)	Bio-physical and/ or social functions and/ or processes are negligibly altered
	Zero (Z)	Bio-physical and/ or social functions and/ or processes remain unaltered.
MAGNITUDE of	High (H)	Bio-physical and/ or social functions and/ or processes are vastly enhanced.
POSITIVE IMPACT (at the	Medium (M)	Bio-physical and/ or social functions and/ or processes are notably enhanced.
indicated spatial scale)	Low(L)	Bio-physical and/ or social functions and/ or processes are <i>slightly</i> enhanced.
	Very Low (VL)	Bio-physical and/ or social functions and/ or processes are negligibly enhanced.
	Zero (Z)	Bio-physical and/ or social functions and/ or processes remain unaltered.

Table C1: Criteria for evaluation of impacts



CRITERIA	CATEGORY	DESCRIPTION
DURATION of	Short Term (S)	0-5 years (after construction).
impact	Medium Term (M)	5-15 years (after construction).
	Long Term (L)	More than 15 years (after construction).
	Definite (D)	>95% chance of the potential impact occurring.
PROBABILITY of occurrence	Probable (Pr)	20% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring
	Possible (Po)	5% - 20% chance of the potential impact occurring
	Improbable (Im)	<5% chance of the potential impact occurring.
CONFIDENCE	Certain (C)	More than adequate amount of information and understanding of the bio-physical and/ or social functions and/ or processes that may potentially influence the impact.
levels	Sure (S)	Reasonable amount of information and understanding of the biophysical and/ or social functions and/ or processes that may potentially influence the impact.
	Unsure (U)	Limited amount of information and understanding of the bio- physical and/ or social function

Table2: Definition of significance ratings

SIGNIFICANCE RATINGS	LEVEL OF CRITERIA REQUIRED
High (H)	 High magnitude with a regional extent and long-term duration High magnitude with either a regional extent and medium-term duration or a local extent and long-term duration Medium magnitude with a regional extent and long-term duration.
Medium (M)	 High magnitude with a local extent and medium-term duration High magnitude with a regional extent and short-term duration or a site-specific extent and long-term duration High magnitude with either a local extent and short-term duration or a site-specific extent and medium-term duration Medium magnitude with any combination of extent and duration except site specific and short term or regional and long term Low magnitude with a regional extent and long-term duration.
Low (L)	 High magnitude with a site-specific extent and short-term duration Medium magnitude with a site-specific extent and short-term duration Low magnitude with any combination of extent and duration except site specific and short term Very low magnitude with a regional extent and long-term duration.
Very low (VL)	 Low magnitude with a site-specific extent and short-term duration Very low magnitude with any combination of extent and duration except regional and long term.
Neutral (N)	Zero magnitude with any combination of extent and duration



APPENDIX D: Vegetation Types

FRg2 Swartland Granite Renosterveld



Figure D1: Swartland Granite Renosterveld: Bulb-rich veld dominated by *Lachenalia pustulata* in the Tienie Versveld Flower Reserve near Darling (Western Cape) Image source: Mucina and Rutherford (2006) Figure 4.119, page 191.

Dominant and typical floristic species of Swartland Granite Renosterveld (Mucina & Rutherford,
2012). The table contains the important taxa associated with the vegetation type.

Woody Layer	
Woody Climbers	Cissampelos capensis, Microloma sagittatum.
Tall Shrubs	Euclea racemosa subsp. racemosa ^T (d), Olea europaea subsp. africana ^T (d), Putterlickia pyracantha ^T (d), Rhus laevigata ^T (d), Aspalathus acuminata subsp. acuminata, Chrysanthemoides monilifera, Diospyros glabra ^T , Dodonaea viscosa var. angustifolia, Myrsine africana ^T , Passerina corymbosa, Rhus angustifolia ^T , R. crenata ^T , R. tomentosa ^T , R. undulata ^T , Wiborgia obcordata.
Low Shrubs	Anthospermum aethiopicum (d), Elytropappus rhinocerotis (d), Eriocephalus africanus var. africanus (d), Felicia filifolia subsp. filifolia (d), Maytenus oleoides (d), Salvia lanceolata (d), Anthospermum galioides subsp. galioides, Aspalathus hispida, Asparagus rubicundus, Athanasia trifurcata, Chironia baccifera, Erica paniculata, Galenia africana, Gnidia squarrosa, Helichrysum cymosum, H. dasyanthum, H. revolutum, H. teretifolium, Hermannia alnifolia, H. hyssopifolia, H. prismatocarpa, Leucadendron lanigerum var. lanigerum, Lobostemon argenteus, L. fruticosus, Nenax hirta subsp. hirta, Oftia africana, Phylica thunbergiana, Rhus dissecta, R. rosmarinifolia, Salvia africana-caerulea, Stoebe cinerea.
Succulent Shrub	Lampranthus sociorum.
Forb layer	
Herbs	Helichrysum crispum (d), Annesorhiza macrocarpa, Cotula turbinata, Hebenstretia paarlensis, Lichtensteinia obscura, Stachys aethiopica
Geophytic Herb	Mohria caffrorum (d), Chlorophytum undulatum, Geissorhiza monanthos, Moraea papilionacea, Oxalis obtusa, O. pes-caprae, O. purpurea, Pelargonium longifolium, Romulea eximia, R. rosea, Sparaxis parviflora, Watsonia borbonica subsp. borbonica
Succulent Herb	Crassula capensis
Herbaceous Climber	Cynanchum africanum



Grass layer	
Graminoids	Ehrharta calycina (d), E. villosa var. villosa (d), Ischyrolepis gaudichaudiana (d), Cymbopogon marginatus, Ehrharta longiflora, E. ottonis, E. thunbergii, Ischyrolepis capensis, Thamnochortus bachmannii, Themeda triandra, Tribolium uniolae.
Endemic Taxa	
Succulent Shrub	Antimima menniei, Erepsia hallii, Lampranthus citrinus, L. scaber, Phyllobolus suffruticosus, Ruschia klipbergensis
Low Shrubs	Agathosma hispida, A. latipetala, Aspalathus glabrata, A. rycroftii
Herbs	Arctopus dregei, Oncosiphon glabratum
Geophytic Herbs	Babiana pygmaea, B. regia, B. rubrocyanea, Geissorhiza darlingensis, G. eurystigma, G. malmesburiensis, G. mathewsii, G. radians, Haemanthus pumilio, Ixia aurea, I. curta, Lachenalia purpureo-caerulea, Moraea amissa, Oxalis stictocheila, Watsonia humilis.

(d) = dominant species; T = Cape Thickets

FFd5 – Cape Flats Sand Fynbos



Figure D2: Cape Flats Sand Fynbos: One of the largest surviving remnants is located under powerlines in the Plattekloof Natural Heritage Site, here with *Serruria aemula, Diastella proteoides, Matalasia densa* and *Passerina vulgaris. Thamnochortus erectus* is showing signs of senescence due to the reluctance of the managers to burn under the powerlines. Image source: Mucina and Rutherford (2006) Figure 4.62, page 140.

Woody Layer	
Tall Shrubs	Metalasia densa, Morella cordifolia, M. serrata, Passerina corymbosa, Protea burchellii, P. repens, Psoralea pinnata ^w , Pterocelastrus tricuspidatus [†] , Rhus lucida [†] , Wiborgia obcordata.
Low Shrubs	Diastella proteoides (d), Diosma hirsuta (d), Erica lasciva (d), E. muscosa (d), Phylica cephalantha (d), Senecio halimifolius (d), Serruria glomerata (d), Stoebe plumosa (d), Anthospermum aethiopicum, Aspalathus callosa, A. hispida, A. quinquefolia subsp. quinquefolia, A. sericea, A. spinosa subsp. spinosa, A. ternata, Berzelia abrotanoides, Chrysanthemoides incana, Cliffortia eriocephalina, C. juniperina, C. polygonifolia, Erica articularis, E. axillaris, E. capitata, E. corifolia, E. ferrea, E. imbricata, E. mammosa, E. plumosa, E. pulchella, Eriocephalus africanus var. africanus, Galenia africana, Gnidia spicata, Helichrysum cymosum, Leucadendron floridum, L. salignum, Leucospermum hypophyllocarpodendron subsp. canaliculatum, Metalasia adunca, M. pulchella, Morella quercifolia, Passerina ericoides, Pharnaceum lanatum, Phylica parviflora, Plecostachys polifolia, P. serpyllifolia, Polpoda capensis, Protea scolymocephala, Serruria fasciflora, S. trilopha, Staavia radiata, Stilbe albiflora, Stoebe cinerea, Syncarpha vestita, Trichocephalus stipularis
Succulent Shrub	Crassula flava.
Herbaceous Climber	Dipogon lignosus.

Dominant and typical floristic species of Cape Flats Sand Fynbos (Mucina & Rutherford, 2012). The table contains the important taxa associated with the vegetation type.



Forb layer		
Herbs	Berkheya rigida, Conyza pinnatifida, Edmondia sesamoides, Helichrysum tinctum, Indigofera procumbens, Knowltonia vesicatoria.	
Geophytic Herbs	Watsonia meriana (d), Aristea dichotoma, Geissorhiza tenella, Othonna heterophylla, Pelargonium longifolium, Wachendorfia paniculata, Zantedeschia aethiopica ^w .	
Succulent Herb	Carpobrotus acinaciformis	
Grass layer		
Graminoids	Cynodon dactylon (d), Ehrharta villosa var. villosa (d), Elegia tectorum (d), Restio quinquefarius (d), Sporobolus virginicus (d), Thamnochortus erectus (d), Willdenowia incurvata (d), Calopsis impolita, Elegia juncea, E. microcarpa, E. nuda, Hordeum capense, Hypodiscus aristatus, Ischyrolepis capensis, I. paludosa, Juncus capensis, Restio bifurcus, R. micans, R. quadratus, Willdenowia sulcata, W. teres.	
Endemic Taxa		
Low Shrubs	Erica margaritacea (d), Aspalathus variegata (probably extinct), Athanasia capitata, Cliffortia ericifolia, Erica pyramidalis ^w , E. turgida, E. verticillata, Leucadendron levisanus, Liparia graminifolia, Serruria aemula, S. foeniculacea, S. furcellata.	
Succulent Shrub	Lampranthus stenus.	
Graminoids	Tetraria variabilis, Trianoptiles solitaria	
Geophytic Herb	Ixia versicolor	
(d) = dominant cno	cies T = Cane Thickets W = Wetlands	

(d) = dominant species, T = Cape Thickets, W = Wetlands

FRs9 Swartland Granite Renosterveld



Figure D3: Swartland Granite Renosterveld: Renosterbos (*Elytropappus rhinocerotis*) shrublands on Malmesbury shale at the foot of Spitskop, near Piketberg, with *Moraea tulbaghensis*, (Orange, red data species), *Lampranthus dilutus* (mauve-flowered vygie), and *Ixia lutea* (cream-coloured in the brackground). Image source: Mucina and Rutherford (2006) Figure 4.109, page 182.



Dominant and typical floristic species of Swartland Granite Renosterveld (Mucina & Rutherford, 2012). The table contains the important taxa associated with the vegetation type.

Woody Layer	
Tall Shrubs	Aspalathus acuminata subsp. acuminata (d), Olea europaea subsp. africana (d), Rhus angustifolia (d), R. incisa (d), Chrysanthemoides monilifera, Euryops speciosissimus, E. tenuissimus, Gymnosporia buxifolia, Lebeckia cytisoides
Low Shrubs	Anthospermum aethiopicum (d), A. spathulatum subsp. tulbaghense (d), Elytropappus rhinocerotis (d), Eriocephalus africanus var. africanus (d), Euryops thunbergii (d), Galenia secunda (d), Helichrysum cymosum (d), H. teretifolium (d), Osteospermum spinosum (d), Otholobium hirtum (d), Agathosma glandulosa, Aspalathus aculeata, A. pinguis subsp. pinguis, A. spinosa subsp. flavispina, A. tridentata subsp. staurantha, A. varians, Asparagus rubicundus, Athanasia trifurcata, Cliffortia marginata, Diosma hirsuta, Euclea acutifolia, Felicia filifolia subsp. filifolia, F. hyssopifolia, Galenia africana, Lebeckia cinerea, Leucadendron lanigerum var. lanigerum, Marasmodes polycephala, Metalasia dregeana, M. octoflora, Muraltia decipiens, M. ononidifolia, Oftia africana, Passerina truncata subsp. truncata, Phylica gracilis, Plecostachys serpyllifolia, Pteronia divaricata, P. incana, Rhus dissecta, Senecio pubigerus, Stoebe plumosa. Succulent Shrubs: Euphorbia burmannii (d), E. mauritanica, Lampranthus elegans
Woody Climber	Microloma sagittatum
Forb layer	
Herbs	Berkheya armata (d), B. rigida, Cotula turbinata, Echiostachys spicatus, Lichtensteinia obscura, Manulea cephalotes, Senecio laxus, Stachys aethiopica
Geophytic Herbs	Cyanella hyacinthoides (d), Melasphaerula ramosa (d), Albuca maxima, Aristea africana, Babiana melanops, Cheilanthes capensis, Disa physodes, Geissorhiza imbricata subsp. bicolor ^w , G. inflexa, G. juncea, G. purpureolutea, G. tulbaghensis, Lachenalia longibracteata, L. pallida, L. polyphylla, Mohria caffrorum, Ornithogalum thyrsoides, Oxalis pes-caprae, Romulea flava, R. leipoldtii, R. rosea, R. tabularis, Watsonia marginata.
Grass layer	
Graminoids	Cynodon dactylon (d), Ehrharta calycina (d), Elegia capensis (d), E. recta (d), E. tectorum (d), Ficinia brevifolia (d), Ischyrolepis capensis (d), Merxmuellera stricta (d), Ehrharta delicatula, E. thunbergii, Hordeum capense, Merxmuellera arundinacea, Tribolium hispidum.
Endemic Taxa	
Low Shrubs	Leucadendron verticillatum (d), Aspalathus acanthophylla, A. horizontalis, A. pinguis subsp. longissima, A. pinguis subsp. occidentalis, A. puberula, A. rectistyla, Cliffortia acockii, Lotononis complanata, Serruria incrassata
Succulent Shrub	Erepsia ramosa, Ruschia patens, R. pauciflora
Herb	Indigofera triquetra
Geophytic Herbs	Aristea lugens, Babiana angustifolia, B. odorata, B. secunda, Hesperantha pallescens, H. spicata subsp. fistulosa, Lachenalia liliflora, L. mediana var. rogersii, L. orthopetala, Lapeirousia fastigiata, Moraea gigandra, M. tulbaghensis, Oxalis fragilis, O. involuta, O. leptocalyx, O. levis, O. macra, O. perineson, O. strigosa, Pelargonium viciifolium

(d) = dominant species, W = Wetlands





FFa3 Swartland Alluvium Fynbos

Figure D4: Swartland Alluvium Fynbos: Proteoid fynbos with *Leucospermum calligerum* and *Serruria candicans* (foreground) and *Leucodendron corymbosum* (background) on alluvial fans in the Elandsberg Private Natrue Reserve north of Wellington (Western Cape). Image source: Mucina and Rutherford (2006) Figure 4.191, page 165.

Dominant and typical floristic species of Swartland Alluvium Fynbos (Mucina & Rutherford,		
2012). The table contains the important taxa associated with the vegetation type.		

Woody Layer	
Tall Shrubs	Diospyros glabra [⊤] (d), Olea europaea subsp. africana [⊤] (d), Psoralea aphylla (d), Rhus angustifolia [⊤] (d), Dodonaea viscosa var. angustifolia, Metalasia densa, Morella cordifolia, Passerina corymbosa, Phylica buxifolia, Protea repens, Rhus incisa [⊤] , Rubus rigidus
Low Shrubs	Cliffortia ferruginea (d), Elytropappus rhinocerotis (d), Eriocephalus africanus var. africanus (d), Leucadendron corymbosum (d), Leucospermum calligerum (d), Passerina truncata subsp. truncata (d), Senecio halimifolius (d), Serruria candicans (d), Athanasia trifurcata, Cliffortia juniperina, C. ruscifolia, Elytropappus gnaphaloides, Euryops pinnatipartitus, Galenia africana, Leucadendron lanigerum var. lanigerum, L. salignum, L. stellare, Oftia africana, Plecostachys serpyllifolia, Stoebe plumosa, Trichocephalus stipularis
Woody Climber	Microloma sagittatum
Forb layer	
Herbs	Conyza pinnatifida, Corymbium africanum, Dischisma arenarium, Lebeckia sepiaria
Geophytic Herbs	Pteridium aquilinum (d), Zantedeschia aethiopica ^w (d), Geissorhiza imbricata subsp. bicolor ^w , G. setacea, Mohria caffrorum, Oxalis goniorrhiza, Spiloxene flaccida
Herbaceous Climber	Dipogon lignosus
Grass layer	
Graminoids	Calopsis paniculata (d), Cynodon dactylon (d), Elegia filacea (d), Ficinia brevifolia (d), Ischyrolepis capensis (d), I. tenuissima (d), Juncus capensis (d), Merxmuellera cincta (d), Calopsis rigorata, Cannomois parviflora, Elegia nuta, E. recta, Eragrostis curvula, Pentaschistis curvifolia, P. pallida, Pycreus polystachyos ^w , Restio filiformis, Thamnochortus fruticosus, T. punctatus, Willdenowia glomerata, W. incurvata, W. sulcata, W. teres.
Endemic Taxa	



Low Shrubs	Diastella buekii, Erica alexandri, E. bakeri ^w , Marasmodes duemmeri, M. undulata, Phylica stenopetala, Protea mucronifolia.
Succulent Shrub	Lampranthus schlechteri
Geophytic Herbs	Brunsvigia elandsmontana, Bulbine monophylla, Geissorhiza furva, Moraea villosa subsp. elandsmontana.

(d) = dominant species, W = Wetlands, T = Cape Thickets)



APPENDIX E: Details, Expertise And Curriculum Vitae of Specialists

1. (a) (i) Details of the specialist who prepared the report

Daryl van der Merwe	MSc Conservation Biology (University of Cape Town)
Christopher Hooton	BTech Nature Conservation (Tshwane University of Technology)
Kim Marais	BSc (Hons) Zoology (Herpetology) (University of the Witwatersrand)
Sanja Erwee	BSc Zoology (University of Pretoria)

1. (A). (ii) The expertise of that specialist to compile a specialist report including a curriculum vitae

Company of Specialist:	Scientific Terrestrial Se	ervices	
Name / Contact person:	Kim Marais		
Postal address:	PO. Box 751779, Gardenview		
Postal code:	2047		
Telephone:	011 616 7893	Fax:	086 724 3132
E-mail:	kim@sasenvgroup.co.za		
Qualifications	BSc (Hons) Zoology (University of the Witwatersrand)		
	BSc (Zoology and Con	servation) (Univer	sity of the Witwatersrand)
Registration / Associations	Registered Professional Scientist at South African Council for Natural Scientific		
	Professions (SACNAS	D)	
	Member of South Africa	an Wetland Forum	1

1. (b) a declaration that the specialist is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority

I, Daryl van der Merwe, declare that -

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the relevant legislation and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the applicable legislation;
- I have not, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that
 reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by
 the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission
 to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct

Signature of the Specialist



I, Christopher Hooton, declare that -

- I act as the independent specialist (reviewer) in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the relevant legislation and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the applicable legislation;
- I have not, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or mayhave the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct.

Specialist Signature

I, Kim Marais, declare that -

- I act as the independent specialist (reviewer) in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the relevant legislation and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the applicable legislation;
- I have not, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct

Signature of the Specialist

I, Sanja Erwee, declare that -

- I act as the **independent specialist** in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the
 relevant legislation and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the applicable legislation;
- I have not, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct

weed _____

Signature of the Specialist





CURRICULUM VITAE OF DARYL VAN DER MERWE

PERSONAL DETAILS		
Position in Company	Junior Field Biologist	
Joined SAS Environmental Group of Companies	2019	
MEMBERSHIP IN PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES		
Member of the South African Environmental Observation	on Network (SAEON)	
EDUCATION		
Qualifications		
MSc (Conservation Biology Candidate) (University of Cape Town)		2019
BSc (Hons) Plant Science (Ecology) (University of Pretoria)		2014
BSc Environmental Science (University of Pretoria)		2013
AREAS OF WORK EXPERIENCE		
South Africa – Gauteng, Mpumalanga, North West, Lin	popo and Northern Cape	
KEY SPECIALIST DISCIPLINES		
Biodiversity Assessments		
Faunal Assessments		
Invertebrate Assessments		
Invertebrate Monitoring		
Avifaunal Assessments		

- Alien and Invasive Control Plan (AICP)
- Ecological Scan
- Terrestrial Monitoring
- Protected Tree and Floral Marking and Reporting

Legislative Requirements, Processes and Assessments

- Water Use Applications (Water Use Licence Applications / General Authorisations)
- Environmental and Water Use Audits
- Freshwater Resource Management and Monitoring as part of EMPR and WUL conditions





CURRICULUM VITAE OF CHRISTOPHER HOOTON

PERSONAL DETAILS		
Position in Company	Senior Scientist, Member	
	Biodiversity Specialist	
Joined SAS Environmental Group of Companies	2013	
EDUCATION		
Qualifications		
BTech Nature Conservation (Tshwane University of Technology)		
National Diploma Nature Conservation (Tshwane University of Technology)		2008
AREAS OF WORK EXPERIENCE		
South Africa – Gauteng, Mpumalanga, North West,	Limpopo, KwaZulu-Natal, Eastern Cape, Wes	stern Cape,
Northern Cape, Free State Africa - Zimbabwe, Sierra Leone, Zambia		
KEY SPECIALIST DISCIPLINES		
Biodiversity Assessments		
 Floral Assessments 		
 Faunal Assessments 		
 Biodiversity Actions Plan (BAP) 		
 Biodiversity Management Plan (BMP) 		

- Alien and Invasive Control Plan (AICP)
- Ecological Scan
- Protected Tree and Floral Marking and Reporting
- Biodiversity Offset Plan

Freshwater Assessments

- Freshwater Verification Assessment
- Freshwater (wetland / riparian) Delineation and Assessment
- Freshwater Eco Service and Status Determination
- Rehabilitation Assessment / Planning





CURRICULUM VITAE OF KIM MARAIS

PERSONAL DETAILS

Position in Company

Joined SAS Environmental Group of Companies

Senior Scientist Water Resource Manager 2015

MEMBERSHIP IN PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES

Professional member of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions (SACNASP – Reg No. 117137/17) Member of the Western Cape Wetland Forum (WCWF)

EDUCATION

Qualifications BSc (Hons) Zoology (University of the Witwatersrand) BSc (Zoology and Conservation) (University of the Witwatersrand)	2012 2011
Short Courses Aquatic and Wetland Plant Identification (Cripsis Environment) Tools for Wetland Assessment (Rhodes University) Certificate in Environmental Law for Environmental Managers (CEM) Certificate for Introduction to Environmental Management (CEM)	2019 2018 2014 2013

KEY SPECIALIST DISCIPLINES

Biodiversity Assessments

- Biodiversity Action Plans (BAP)
- Alien and Invasive Control Plans (AICP)
- Faunal Eco Scans
- Faunal Impact Assessments

Freshwater Assessments

- Desktop Freshwater Delineation
- Freshwater Verification Assessment
- Freshwater (wetland / riparian) Delineation and Assessment
- Freshwater Eco Service and Status Determination
- Rehabilitation Assessment / Planning
- Watercourse Maintenance and Management Plans
- Freshwater Offset Plan

Aquatic Ecological Assessment and Water Quality Studies

- Riparian Vegetation Integrity (VEGRAI)
- Water quality Monitoring
- Riverine Rehabilitation Plans

Legislative Requirements, Processes and Assessments

- Water Use Applications (Water Use Licence Applications / General Authorisations)
- Water Use Audits
- Freshwater Resource Management and Monitoring as part of EMPR and WUL conditions
- Public Participation processes





CURRICULUM VITAE OF SANJA ERWEE

PERSONAL DETAILS			
GIS Technician and Visual Specialist			
2014			
2013			
2015			
2017			
2017			
2018			

AREAS OF WORK EXPERIENCE

South Africa – Gauteng, Mpumalanga, North West, Limpopo, KwaZulu-Natal, Northern Cape, Western Cape Free State

KEY SPECIALIST DISCIPLINES

Freshwater Assessments

- Desktop Freshwater Delineation
- Plant species and Landscape Plan

Visual Impact Assessment

- Visual Baseline and Impact Assessments
- Visual Impact Peer Review Assessments
- View Shed Analyses
- Visual Modelling

GIS

• Mapping and GIS for various sectors and various disciplines (biodiversity, freshwater, aquatic, soil and land capability).

