OFI	COMMENTS & RESPONSE REPORT OFF-SITE WETLAND REHABILITATION AND MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE ELGIN POULTRY ABATTOIR ON ERF 8611, GRABOUW Opened 1 August 2024 at PHS Consulting Offices		
Name	Presenting Unit	COMMENT	RESPONSE
		Draft Rehabilitation and Maintenance Management Plan (Circulated from	20 June 2024 to 25 July 2024)
Vhengani Ligudu	BOCMA	 Letter recived via email dated 22 July 2024: With reference to the above-mentioned document received by this office with DEADP reference 16/3/1/1/E4/11/2068/14 on the 04/07/2024 requesting comments. This office has reviewed the above-mentioned report and has the following comments: 1. All relevant sections and regulations of the National Water Act, 1998 (Act 36 of 1998) regarding water use must be adhered. 2. This office supports the rehabilitation plan of the offset site, however clarity must be provided regarding the following: a. How will the site be protected from the impacts that will be rehabilitated to ensure it is going to be kept in the desired state? b. On whose property is the offset site? 3. This office reserves the right to amend and revise its comments as well as to request any further information. 4. The onus remains on the registered property owner to confirm adherence to any relevant legislation concerning the activities that might trigger and/or need authorization. Please do not hesitate to contact the above official should there be any queries. 	 This comment is noted. The wetland portion proposed for rehabilitation is located on erf 8308 owned by the Theewaterskloof Municipality The proposed development activities therefore fall within the ambit of GN no. 1198 of December 2009 – General Authorisation in terms of Section 39 of the National Water Act (Act no 36 of 1998) in terms of Section (c) and (i) for the purpose of rehabilitating a wetland for conservation purposes. The relevant requirements will be adhered to. a. The wetland portion proposed for rehabilitation is located on erf 8308, owned by the Theewaterskloof Municipality, and is bordered by private property. The Maintenance Management Plan (MMP) is a continuous strategy designed to ensure the rehabilitated wetland remains in optimal condition. To sustain the rehabilitated state, ongoing maintenance and

			 monitoring, as detailed in the MMP, will be carried out. This includes: Monthly solid waste inspections and clean-up. Periodic removal of excess plant material and accumulated sediment at the outlet point, if necessary. Monthly manual weeding of invasive grasses throughout the operational phase of the wetland. Ongoing monitoring and control of alien invasive vegetation, with inspection and removal occurring at least annually. Regular inspections for signs of canalization or erosion, with prompt reporting of any illegal canalization activities to local authorities. A 3-year follow-up audit post-rehabilitation completion, with subsequent audits every 15 years. Ongoing water quality sampling aligned with audits. Fixed-point photography aligned with audits. b. The wetland portion proposed for rehabilitation is located on erf 8308 owned by the Theewaterskloof Municipality.
Rhett Smart	Cape Nature	 Letter recived via email dated 26 July 2024: CapeNature would like to thank you for the opportunity to comment on the application and would like to make the following comments. Please note that our comments only pertain to the biodiversity related impacts and not to the overall desirability of the application. 	 This comment is noted. This comment is noted. This comment is noted.

	2.	The Wetland Rehabilitation and Maintenance Management Plan has been	4.	This co
		compiled to meet Condition 19 of the environmental authorisation in 2015 for		manage
		the expansion of the abattoir. The condition relates to the establishment of an		Activity
		off-site wetland as a remedy for the loss of the wetlands on the poultry abattoir		amende
		property and the manage the stormwater flow from the site and surrounding	5.	
		catchment. An agreement was signed between the applicant and the	5.	
		Theewaterskloof Municipality in this regard, and requires that wetland		5.1. Thi
		engineering design diagrams, a maintenance management plan and a wetland		ind
		habitat revegetation plan are compiled. The report and appendices include the		sh
		requirements in terms of Condition 19 and the letter of commitment between the		5.2. Thi
		two parties.		in
	3.	The condition does not refer to a wetland offset, however the result will be		ta
	0.	aligned to the outcomes for a wetland offset, both in terms of the impacts		in
		incurred and the proposed remedy. We will therefore not review the report in		ra
		term of the Wetland Offset Best Practice Guideline, however it is highly likely		5.3. Thi
		that the proposed mitigation would meet the requirements of the guideline,		fo
		including the results from the wetland offset calculator (Macfarlane 2016).		ca
		The second data second and a strength of the second s		W
	4.	In general, the proposed actions included within the rehabilitation and		th
		maintenance management plan are supported whereby the end result will		5.4. Thi
		potentially be an improvement in the ecological function and ecosystem		pla
		services of the freshwater features, in particular with regards to water quality which is the aspect of greatest concern. However, as the plan is termed a		va
		maintenance management plan (MMP), it is assumed that this is an MMP in		ar
		terms of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations and therefore		KI
		there should be explicit reference to the activities which allow for exclusion from		or
		environmental authorisation if undertaken in terms of an adopted MMP. In this		nı
		regard, Listing Notice 1 Activity 19 and Listing Notice 3 Activity 12 would be		fo
		relevant. Although the footprint is highly degraded to transformed, it will meet		5.5. Thi
		the definition of indigenous vegetation according to our interpretation. The		ha
		proposed activities in Section 3 should be linked to the listed activities as		im
			1	

- . This comment is noted. This rehabilitation and maintenance management plan will be undertaken in terms of Listing Notice 1 Activity 19 and Listing Notice 3 Activity 12. The report has been amended to include the relevant listed activities.
 - 5.1. This comment is noted. The report has been amended to indicate that solid waste inspections and manual clean-ups should be conducted monthly.
 - 5.2. This comment is noted. The report has been amended to indicate that cleaning of litter from the inlet and outlet should take place monthly along with the general solid waste inspections. Ad hoc inspections prior to and following heavy rainfall events have also been recommended.
 - 5.3. This comment is noted. It has been included as a requirement for both activity 2 and activity 3 that all machinery used to carry out rehabilitation activities must be kept in good working order and may not have any leaks to ensure that there are no spillages of contaminants into the wetland.
 - 5.4. This comment is noted. Appendix A is referenced for both the plant list and replanting methods and guidelines. There are various nurseries in the area. The site is centrally located and nurseries from Somerset-Wes, Grabouw, Botrivier; Kleinmond and Hermanus will be used. This approach not only lowers the costs associated with establishing a new nursery but also addresses the lack of secure space on-site for nursery establishment.
- 5.5. This comment is noted. An additional water quality sampling has been included in the report and should take place immediately prior to the commencement of any rehabilitation

applicable. The MMP has incl undertaken into perpetuity, aligne	luded maintenance activities which will be do not d	activities onsite. Escherichia coli has been included as a variable to be measures during water sampling analysis.
	the MMP we wish to note the following: 6.	
 5.1. Activity 1: Recommend the clean-ups are conducted in should be conducted either high rainfall event. 5.2. Activity 2&3: It must be end the lawn grasses and excale and ensure that there are contaminants into the wether of the lawn grasses and excale and ensure that there are contaminants into the wether onto make reference to a tere to planting out as there will temporary nursery will also 5.5. Activity 5: Water quality methe key concerns that the However, we recommence before rehability which to measure the suc of the establishment of Escherichia coli should measured. 6. The roles and responsibilities for the stable stable stable and the succonstant of the stable stable stable stable and the succonstant of the stable stab	hat the solid waste inspections and manual nonthly rather than quarterly. That clearing of litter from the inlet and outlet er monthly, or if quarterly, then also after every sured that the machinery used for skimming of avation of the wetland does not have any leaks are no spillages of hydrocarbons or other and. Nuld not only be referenced for the plant list but revegetation of the wetland. Appendix A does emporary nursery for holding of the plants prior Il be a time lag in between. The location of the	 This comment is noted. Erf 291 Portion 10 is now called Erf 8308 where the works will apply. The initial report indicated that the off site wetland area to be improved would extend across both Er 8308 and Erf 8309. However, Erf 8309 is private property, and no active rehabilitation activities will be undertaken on the property. This has been corrected within the current version of the report Nevertheless, it is highly likely that the rehabilitation actions proposed for Erf 8308 will positively impact the wetland hydrology within the existing wetland portions located on Erf 8309. This comment is noted. While the potential benefits of subdividing and rezoning the rehabilitated wetland area for long-term conservation security are recognized, the practical implications must also be considered. The process of subdivision and rezoning is both costly and time-consuming, and it was not identified as a mitigating requirement during the initial Basic Assessment Report (BAR) process, during which the Rehabilitation and Maintenance Management Plan was made a condition of approval. The success of the proposed works mainly relate to implementation of the plan and long term maintenance. Therefore, it is proposed to continue with the current rehabilitation plan, which includes long term operational phase management of the rehabilitated wetland plan.

		Affairs and Development Planning prior to commencement of Phase 2.	10. This comment is noted.
		CapeNature is satisfied that this will ensure the implementation of the required actions.	11. This comment is noted.
		7. The letter of commitment refers to Erf 291 Portion 10, however the proposed	
		wetland will also be located on Erf 8309. It is assumed that the municipality or	
		the applicant are the owners of these erven, otherwise additional parties will	
		need to form part of the agreement.	
		8. In order to ensure the long-term security of the wetland rehabilitation	
		interventions, we recommend that the wetland should either be: subdivided	
		from the remainder of the erven and rezoned to Open Space; spot zoned to	
		Open Space; or the entire erven should be rezoned to Open Space. As the	
		purpose of the wetland will mainly be for restore ecological function and	
		ecosystem services, and therefore the habitat is unlikely to be a priority for	
		protected area expansion (i.e. only functional habitat), rezoning to open space	
		should be sufficient as opposed for formal conservation (e.g. stewardship). Any	
		of the four Open Space zones should be sufficient.	
		9. We further recommend that the potential contributors to the pollution of	
		stormwater run-off that enter the wetland should be identified and appropriate	
		action taken in terms of the relevant legislation. As indicated in the	
		assessments, the run-off entering the property from the industrial area	
		upstream is already highly polluted. The water quality monitoring should also be	
		able to assist in identifying potential sources of pollution	
		10. CapeNature recommends the adoption of the MMP with the additional	
		recommendations listed above.	
		11. CapeNature reserves the right to revise initial comments and request further	
		information based on any additional information that may be received.	
D'mitri	DEADP	Letter recived via email dated 29 July 2024:	1. This comment is noted.
Matthews		1. The draft MMP dated June 2024, as received by the Directorate: Development	

	Management Degion 1 (bargin after referred to as "this Directorate") or 20, here	
	Management Region 1 (herein after referred to as "this Directorate") on 20 June	2.
	2024, refers.	2.1. This comment is noted.
2.	Following review of the information submitted to this Directorate notes the following:	2.2. This comment is noted.
	 2.1. An Environmental Authorisation (EA) for the extension of the Elgin Poultry Abattoir in Grabouw Industria (Figure 1) was granted on 24 February 2015. 2.2. The approved extension entails the expansion of existing buildings and infrastructure in two phases. Phase 2 of the project will result in the infilling and loss of two wetland portions located in the north-eastern corner of the property. 2.3. In order to compensate for the loss of onsite wetland functionality, the Freshwater Assessment Report compiled by BlueScience (Grobler & Belcher, 2014) recommended that a portion of the wetland area located north and east of the property should be rehabilitated. 2.4. The current report details the rehabilitation and long-term maintenance 	 2.3. This comment is noted. 2.4. This comment is noted. The current report details the rehabilitation and long-term maintenance management actions that need to be implemented onsite. It addresses all the requirements outlined in Condition 19 of the Environmental Authorisation issued on 20 February 2024, including the specific directives in sections 19.1, 19.2, and 19.3. 3. 3. 3.1. This comment is noted. This rehabilitation and maintenance
	management actions that need to be implemented for the required mitigation to be realised, as per Condition 19.2 of the EA issued on 24 February 2024.	management plan will be undertaken in terms of Listing Notice 1 Activity 19 and Listing Notice 3 Activity 12. The report has been amended to include the relevant listed activities.
3.	. Departmental comments:	3.3. This comment is noted. The report has been amended to
	3.1. This Directorate agrees with the objectives and implementation of the Wetland Rehabilitation and Maintenance Report dated June 2024, as it is based on the recommendation of the Freshwater Assessment dated 16 September 2014.	include the necessary maintenance actions associated with the proposed berm/embankment and overflow point including regular inspections, repair of any structural damages, and clearing of debris, to ensure uninhibited flow at the productormined autflow level
	3.2. The report did not indicate in terms of which listed activity (i.e. Activity 19 of Listing Notice 1) the Wetland Rehabilitation and Maintenance Report must be adopted. You are required to amend your report to include the relevant listed activity/ies.	 at the predetermined outflow level 4. Please refer to Annexure A below for a detailed response regarding Point 4 – Regulatory Requirements. This letter was sent to DEADP on 2 August 2024.

3.3. As part of the design of the off-site wetland, a berm/embankment is	Given the ex
proposed to facilitate water retention within the wetland. Additionally, an	clear directiv
overflow weir in the berm/embankment will allow stormwater to flow from	Authorization
the rehabilitated wetland area and spill at an appropriate level into the	newspaper a
northern/northwestern channeled valley bottom wetland system associated	notice boards
with the Klipdrift River to the north of the site. Please amend your report to	In complianc
include any maintenance actions that may be associated with the	Theewatersk
berm/embankment and weir i.e. repair of structures.	consultations
4. Regulatory Requirements:	Comments a
	feedback rec
4.1. Proof of the notifications sent to registered I&APs for the commenting	addressed, a
purposes must be included in the final MMP.	report.
4.2. A dated photograph of erecting a site notice must be provided.	
4.3. Proof of placing an advertisement must be provided.	An initial con
	report has be
4.4. Any new representations and comments received in connection with the	distributed to
proposal must be included in the final MMP.	resolutions v
4.5. Any new responses by the EAP to the aforementioned representations and	report, which further comm
comments must be tabulated in a comments and response report that	
must be included in the final MMP.	submission to
4.6. Please be advised that the signed and dated applicant declaration is	5. This commer
required to be submitted with the MMP during the formal application	6. This commer
process to this Department for decision-making. It is important to note that	
by signing this declaration, the applicant is confirming that they are aware	
and have taken cognisance of the contents of the report submitted for	
decision-making. Furthermore, through signing this declaration, the	
applicant is making a commitment that they are both willing and able to	
implement the necessary mitigation, management and monitoring	
measures recommended within the report with respect to this application.	
4.7. In addition to the above, please ensure that the signed and dated EAP and	

xtensive BAR process already undertaken and the ves outlined in Condition 19 of the Environmental (EA), we believe that additional measures such as advertisements, neighbour notifications, or onsite s are not required.

e with the EA, Cape Nature, BOCMA, DEADP, and loof Municipality will be consulted. Proof of all will be included in the final submission to DEADP. A and Response report will be prepared to detail the eived from these entities, how their comments were and how they were incorporated into the revised

sultation round has already been completed, and the een revised accordingly. This revised report will be all mentioned parties. The initial comments and their will be compiled into a Comments and Response will be circulated along with the revised report for nents from the mentioned entities before the final ODEADP.

- nt is noted and will be adhered to.
- nt is noted.

 specialist declarations are also submitted with the final MMP. 5. Kindly quote the abovementioned reference number in any future correspondence concerning the proposed development. 6. This Department reserves the right to revise or withdraw its comments and request further information based on any information received.
Email dated 21 August 2024 in response to the letter send by PHS on 2 August 2024: Thank you for your email.
This Directorate agrees that since the MMP was a condition of approval, that the PPP undertaken is adequate and the draft MMP was only required to be sent to organs of state to provide comment.
This Directorate therefore awaits the submission of the final MMP for adoption.

Annexure A – Response Letter to DEADP dated 2 August 2024



Maintenance Management Plan (MMP) for the Elgin Poultry Abattoir on Erf 8611, Grabouw. We appreciate the feedback provided and are committed to making the necessary amendments to the body of the MMP as per technical inputs.

However, regarding the steps for public participation (PP) outlined in Point 4 - regulatory requirements, we would like to address the following:

- 1. The Environmental Authorisation (EA) for the development underwent a thorough Basic Assessment Report (BAR) process. This comprehensive process included public advertisements, site notices, consultation with stakeholders, and opportunities for appeal. The need for and the inclusion of the MMP as a condition in the application was made clear during the PP, and there were no objections raised against it by I&AP's. All Interested and Affected Parties (IAPs) were fully informed that the rehabilitation and maintenance management plan was a mandatory condition for approval.
- 2. Condition 19 within the EA clearly specifies who needs to be consulted regarding the MMP, namely Cape Nature, BOCMA, Theewaterskloof Municipality, and DEADP. There is no mandate for broader consultation beyond these entities. This is because the initial BAR process already encompassed extensive consultation with relevant Organs of State, neighbours and the general public, ensuring thorough engagement and input.
- 3. Given the extensive initial consultation and the clear directives in Condition 19, we do not believe it is necessary to advertise in the newspaper, notify neighbours, or install notice boards onsite. We propose to continue our consultation solely with Cape Nature, BOCMA, DEADP, and Theewaterskloof Municipality, as stipulated in the EA. The rehabilitation and MMP is applicable to the erf owned by the

cell: 082 740 8046 | tel: (028) 312 1734 | fax: 086 508 3249 | paul@phsconsulting.co.za | PO Box 1752 | Hermanus 7200 PAUL SLABBERT | Managing Member | B Art Et Scien; (IAIA & AHAP) Fynbosland 323 CC t/a PHS Consulting Reg 2005/081216/23 Theewaterskloof Municipality. This approach will ensure that the MMP remains comprehensive, addressing all necessary technical and environmental requirements.

We hereby request your approval to proceed with the consultation as outlined above, limiting it to the specified entities. We believe this approach is in line with the EA requirements and will ensure the MMP is comprehensive and ecologically sound.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Regards,

Olivia Brunings